

European and International Competition Law: Legal Foundations

[View Online](#)

1

Furse, Mark. Competition law of the EC and UK. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

2

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, Sufrin, B. E. EU competition law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

3

Middleton, K. Blackstone's UK and EC Competition Documents. 6th ed. 2009.

4

Bishop, Simon, Walker, Mike. The economics of EC competition law: concepts, application and measurement. 3rd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2010.

5

Maher M. Dabbah. EC and UK Competition Law: Commentary, Cases and Materials. illustrated edition. Cambridge University Press 2004.

6

Gerber, David J. Law and competition in twentieth century Europe: protecting Prometheus. [Updated pbk. ed.]. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2001.

<http://library.kent.ac.uk/cgi-bin/resources.cgi?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199244010.001.0001>

7

Goyder, D. G., Goyder, Joanna, Albors-Llorens, Albertina. Goyder's EC competition law. 5th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

8

Korah, Valentine. An introductory guide to EC competition law and practice. 9th ed. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2007.

9

Korah, Valentine. Cases and materials on EC competition law. 3rd ed. Oxford: : Hart Pub 2006.

10

Monti, Giorgio. EC competition law. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.

11

Slot, Piet Jan, Johnston, Angus. An introduction to competition law. 1st English ed. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2006.

12

Bergh, Roger van den, Camesasca, Peter D. European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective. 2nd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

13

Whish, Richard. Competition law. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

14

Arnull, Anthony, Wyatt, Derrick. Wyatt & Dashwood's European Union law. 5th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

15

Chalmers, Damian. European Union law: text and materials. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2006.

16

Craig, P. P., De
Bu

rca, G. EU law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

17

Steiner, Josephine, Woods, Lorna, Steiner, Josephine. EU law. 10th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009.

18

Europa - European Commission - Competition.

19

EUR-Lex.

20

Welcome to the European Parliament.

21

<http://curia.europa.eu/jurisp/cgi-bin/form.pl?lang=en>.

22

EUR-Lex.

23

European Court Reports. databaseName

24

Common Market Law Reports. databaseName

25

European competition law review. databaseName

26

Annual Proceedings of the Fordham Law Corporate Institute, International Antitrust.

27

Law & policy. databaseName

28

World competition. databaseName

29

Competition Law Review. databaseName

30

European competition law review. databaseName

31

European law review.

32

Common market law review.

33

Yearbook of European law. databaseName

34

Legal issues of European integration. databaseName

35

European public law.

36

British Institute of International and Comparative Law. International and comparative law quarterly.

37

European Union. European voice: a weekly view of the Union.

38

Economist.

39

EUobserver.

40

EurActiv | European Union Information Website (EU and Europe).

41

International news | euronews, latest international news.

42

EU Law Blog.

43

ECJBlog.com.

44

Furse, Mark. Competition law of the EC and UK. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

45

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, Sufrin, B. E. EU competition law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

46

EC Treaty.

47

Oceans apart. Economist 2008;**387**.

48

The trustbuster's tools. Economist 1998;**346**.

49

Fox, E. We protect competition; you protect competitors. World competition 2003;**26**
.databaseName

50

Fox, E. The new American competition policy - from anti-trust to pro-efficiency. European competition law review Published Online First: 1981.databaseName

51

Liesner, J and Glynn, D. Does anti-trust make economic sense? European competition law review Published Online First: 1987.databaseName

52

Monti, Giorgio. EC competition law. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.

53

Bergh, Roger van den, Camesasca, Peter D. European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective. 2nd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

54

Willmsky, S. The concept(s) of competition. European competition law review 1997;**1**

.databaseName

55

Furse, Mark. Competition law of the EC and UK. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

56

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, Sufrin, B. E. EU competition law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

57

EC Treaty.

58

Commission guidelines contained in articles 81 and 82 EC (OJ 2004 C101/81).

59

Alese, F. The economic theory of non-collusive oligopoly and the concept of concerted practice under art.81. European competition law review 1999;**20**.databaseName

60

Black, O. What is an agreement. European law review 2003;**24**.

61

Brown, C. Bayer v commission: the ECJ agrees. European competition law review 2004;**25**.databaseName

62

Casey, S and Hinchcliffe, S. When is an agreement not an agreement? Implications of the Bayer judgement for parallel trade and contractual dealings with wholesalers and distributors. Business law review (London) 2004;**25**.databaseName

63

Capobianco, A. Information exchange under EC competition law. Common market law review 2004;**41**.

64

Jones, A. Woodpulp: concerted practice and/or conscious parallelism. European competition law review 1993;**14**.databaseName

65

Monti, Giorgio. EC competition law. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.

66

Odudu, O. Interpreting art.81 (1): object as subjective intention. European law review 2001.

67

Odudu, O. Interpreting art.81 (1): the object requirement revisited. European law review 2001.

68

Bergh, Roger van den, Camesasca, Peter D. European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective. 2nd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

69

Furse, Mark. Competition law of the EC and UK. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

70

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, Sufrin, B. E. EU competition law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

71

EC Treaty.

72

Article 1 of Regulation 1/2003 on the implementation of the rules of competition laid down in Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty (OJ 2003 L1/1).

73

Commission Notice on agreements of minor importance which do not appreciably restrict competition under Article 81 (1) of the Treaty (de minimis) OJ 2001 C368/13.

74

Commission Regulation (EC) 2790/1999 on the application of Article 81 (3) of the Treaty to category of vertical agreements and concerted practices OJ 1999 L336/21.

75

Commission Notice Guidelines on vertical restraints OJ 2000 C291/1.

76

Commission Guidelines on the application of art.81 (3) (OJ 2004 C101/97).

77

Kjolbye, L. The new Commission guidelines on the application of art.81 (3): an economic

approach to art.81. European competition law review 2004;**25**.databaseName

78

Lianos, I. Collusion in vertical relations under art.81 EC. Common market law review 2008;
45.

79

Lugard, P. Honey, I shrunk the article! A critical assessment of the Commission's Notice on art.81 (3). European competition law review 2004;**25**.databaseName

80

Monti, Giorgio. EC competition law. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.

81

Nazzini, R. Art.81 EC between time present and time past: a normative critique of 'restriction of competition' in EU law. Common market law review 2006;**43**.

82

Odudu, O. Interpreting art.81 (1): demonstrating restrictive effect. European law review 2001.

83

Odudu, O. A new economic approach to art.81 (1): case comment on Metropole TV (M6). European law review 2002;**27**.

84

Bergh, Roger van den, Camesasca, Peter D. European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective. 2nd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

85

Whish, R and Sufrin, B. Article 85 and the rule of reason. Yearbook of European law 1987;**7**.
databaseName

86

Furse, Mark. Competition law of the EC and UK. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

87

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, Sufrin, B. E. EU competition law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

88

EC Treaty.

89

A billion-euro question. Economist 2009;**391**.

90

Monti, G. The scope of collective dominance under art.82. Common market law review 2001;**38**.

91

Monti, Giorgio. EC competition law. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.

92

Bergh, Roger van den, Camesasca, Peter D. European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective. 2nd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

93

Furse, Mark. Competition law of the EC and UK. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

94

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, Sufrin, B. E. EU competition law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

95

EC Treaty.

96

COM(2009) 864 Commission Communication: Guidance on the Commission's enforcement priorities in applying art.82 EC to abusive exclusionary conduct by dominant undertakings, 9.2.2009.

97

Commission (DG Competition) discussion paper on the application of article 82 of the treaty to exclusionary abuses, December 2005.

98

Dolmans, M and Graf, T. Analysis of tying under art.82 EC: the European Commission's Microsoft decision in perspective. World competition 2004;**27**.databaseName

99

Kallaugher, J. Rebates revisited: anti-competitive effects and exclusionary abuse under art.82. European competition law review 2004;**25**.databaseName

100

Monti, Giorgio. EC competition law. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.

101

Oliver, P. The concept of 'abuse' of a dominant position under art.82: recent developments in relation to pricing. European competition journal Published Online First: 2005.databaseName

102

Sheehan, E. Unilateral refusals to deal and the role of the essential facility doctrine: a US/EC comparative perspective. World competition 1999;**22**.databaseName

103

Bergh, Roger van den, Camesasca, Peter D. European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective. 2nd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

104

Loewenthal, P-J. The defence of 'objective justification' in the application of art.82 EC. World competition 2005;**28**.databaseName

105

Capobianco, A. The essential facility doctrine: similarities and differences between the American and European approach. European law review 2001;**26**.

106

Doherty, B. Just what are essential facilities? Common market law review 2001;**38**.

107

Competition Law Forum's art.82 review group. The reform of art.82: comments on the DG

Competition Discussion paper of art.82 to exclusionary abuses. European competition journal Published Online First: 2006.databaseName

108

Eilmansberger, T. How to distinguish good from bad competition under art.82: in search of clearer and more coherent standards for anti-competitive abuses. Common market law review 2005;**42**.

109

Vecchi, T. Unilateral conduct in an oligopoly according to the discussion paper on art.82: conscious parallelism or abuse of collective dominance? World competition 2008;**31**.databaseName

110

Furse, Mark. Competition law of the EC and UK. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

111

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, Sufrin, B. E. EU competition law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

112

Regulation 1/2003 on the implementation of the rules of competition laid down in articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty (OJ 2003 L1/1).

113

Commission's Notice on immunity from fines and reduction of fines in cartel cases (OJ 2006 C298/17).

114

COM(2005) 672 final, Commission Green Paper on damages actions for breach of EC antitrust rules.

115

Ameye, E. The interplay between human rights and competition law in the EU. European competition law review 2004;**25**.databaseName

116

Levy, N and O'Donoghue, R. The EU leniency programme comes of age. World competition 2004;**27**.databaseName

117

Monti, Giorgio. EC competition law. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.

118

Pirie, M. The complainant in EC competition law. World competition 2000;**23**.databaseName

119

Bergh, Roger van den, Camesasca, Peter D. European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective. 2nd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

120

Venit, J. Brave new world: the modernisation and decentralisation of enforcement under arts 81 and 82 EC. Common market law review 2003;**40**.

121

Willis, P. You have the right to remain silent...or do you? The privilege against self-incrimination following Manesmannroehrenwerke and other recent decisions. European competition law review Published Online First: 2002.databaseName

122

Wils, W. The combination of the investigative and prosecutorial function and the adjudicative function in EC antitrust enforcement: a legal and economic analysis. World competition 2004;**27**.databaseName

123

Wils, W. Self-incrimination in EC antitrust enforcement: a legal and economic analysis. World competition 2003;**26**.databaseName

124

Editorial Comment. A little more action please! The White Paper on damages actions for breach of the EC antitrust rules. Common market law review 2008.

125

Eilmansberger, T. The Green Paper on damages actions for breach of the EC antitrust rules and beyond: reflections on the utility and feasibility of stimulating private enforcement through legislative action. Common market law review 2007;**44**.

126

Furse, Mark. Competition law of the EC and UK. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

127

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, Sufrin, B. E. EU competition law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

128

Regulation 139/2004 on the control of concentrations between undertakings (OJ 2004 L24/22) ('EC Merger Regulation').

129

Commission Guidelines on the assessment of horizontal mergers under the Council Regulation on the control of concentrations between undertakings (OJ 2004 C31/5).

130

Commission Guidelines on the assessment of non-horizontal mergers under the Council Regulation on the control of concentrations between undertakings (November 2007).

131

Burnley, R. Who's afraid of conglomerate mergers? A comparison of the US and EC approaches. World competition 2005;**28**.databaseName

132

Drauz, G. Unbundling GE/Honeywell: the Assessment of Conglomerate Mergers Under EC Competition Law.
<http://www.thesedonaconference.org/conferences/20011108/handouts/pdf/Portfolio%20Power%20in%20Fordham.pdf>

133

Levy, N. EU merger control: from birth to adolescence. World competition 2003;**26**.databaseName

134

Monti, Giorgio. EC competition law. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2007.

135

Schmidt, J. The new EMCR: 'significant impediment' or 'significant improvement'. Common market law review 2004;**41**.

136

Bergh, Roger van den, Camesasca, Peter D. European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective. 2nd ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell 2006.

137

Furse, Mark. Competition law of the EC and UK. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

138

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, Sufrin, B. E. EU competition law: text, cases, and materials. 4th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

139

1991 EC-US Agreement regarding the applications of their competition laws (OJ 1995 L132)

140

1998 EC-US Agreement of the positive comity principles in the enforcement of their competition laws (OJ 1998 L173).

141

1999 EC-Canadian Agreement regarding the application of their competition laws (OJ 1999 L175).

142

European Commission and EU Member States communication of 2002 to the Working Group on the interaction between trade and competition policy WT/WGTCP/W/22 (19.11.02). http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2004/march/tradoc_113332.pdf

143

Banks, J. The development of the concept of extraterritoriality under European merger law and its effectiveness under the Merger Regulation following the Boeing/McDonnell Douglas decision. European competition law review Published Online First: 1998.databaseName

144

Fox, E. The Merger Regulation and its territorial reach: Gencor v Commission. European competition law review Published Online First: 1999.databaseName

145

Lange, D and Sandage, J. The Wood Pulp decision and its implications for the scope of EC Competition Law. Common market law review 1989.

146

Conrad, C. Strategies to reform the regulations on international competition. World competition 2003;**26**.databaseName

147

Drexler, J. International competition policy after Cancun: placing a Singapore Issue on the WTO Development Agenda. World competition 2004;**27**.databaseName

148

Grewlich, A. Globalisation and conflict in competition law. World competition 2001;**24**.databaseName

149

Jenny, F. Competition, trade and development before and after Cancun. Annual Proceedings of the Fordham Law Corporate Institute, International Antitrust 2004;**30**.

150

Kaczorowska, A. International competition law in the context of global capitalism. European competition law review Published Online First: 2000.databaseName

151

Meibom, W and Geiger, A. A world competition law as an ultima ratio. European competition law review Published Online First: 2002.databaseName