## European and International Competition Law: Legal Foundations



1991 EC-US Agreement regarding the applications of their competition laws (OJ 1995 L132) . (n.d.).

1998 EC-US Agreement of the positive comity principles in the enforcement of their competition laws (OJ 1998 L173). (n.d.).

1999 EC-Canadian Agreement regarding the application of their competition laws (OJ 1999 L175). (n.d.).

A billion-euro question. (2009). Economist, 391.

Alese & F. (1999). The economic theory of non-collusive oligopoly and the concept of concerted practice under art.81. European Competition Law Review, 20. databaseName

Ameye & E. (2004). The interplay between human rights and competition law in the EU. European Competition Law Review, 25. databaseName

Annual Proceedings of the Fordham Law Corporate Institute, International Antitrust. (n.d.).

Arnull, Anthony & Wyatt, Derrick. (2006). Wyatt & Dashwood's European Union law (5th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Article 1 of Regulation 1/2003 on the implementation of the rules of competition laid down in Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty (OJ 2003 L1/1). (n.d.).

Banks & J. (1998). The development of the concept of extraterritoriality under European merger law and its effectiveness under the Merger Regulation following the Boeing/McDonnell Douglas decision. European Competition Law Review. databaseName

Bergh, Roger van den & Camesasca, Peter D. (2006a). European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective (2nd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Bergh, Roger van den & Camesasca, Peter D. (2006b). European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective (2nd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Bergh, Roger van den & Camesasca, Peter D. (2006c). European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective (2nd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Bergh, Roger van den & Camesasca, Peter D. (2006d). European competition law and

economics: a comparative perspective (2nd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Bergh, Roger van den & Camesasca, Peter D. (2006e). European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective (2nd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Bergh, Roger van den & Camesasca, Peter D. (2006f). European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective (2nd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Bergh, Roger van den & Camesasca, Peter D. (2006g). European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective (2nd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Bergh, Roger van den & Camesasca, Peter D. (2006h). European competition law and economics: a comparative perspective (2nd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Bishop, Simon & Walker, Mike. (2010). The economics of EC competition law: concepts, application and measurement (3rd ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Black & O. (2003). What is an agreement. European Law Review, 24.

British Institute of International and Comparative Law. (n.d.). International and comparative law quarterly.

Brown & C. (2004). Bayer v commission: the ECJ agrees. European Competition Law Review, 25. databaseName

Burnley & R. (2005). Who's afraid of conglomerate mergers? A comparison of the US and EC approaches. World Competition, 28. databaseName

Capobianco & A. (2001). The essential facility doctrine: similarities and differences between the American and European approach. European Law Review, 26.

Capobianco & A. (2004). Information exchange under EC competition law. Common Market Law Review, 41.

Casey, S and Hinchcliffe, & S. (2004). When is an agreement not an agreement? Implications of the Bayer judgement for parallel trade and contractual dealings with wholesalers and distributors. Business Law Review (London), 25. databaseName

Chalmers, Damian. (2006). European Union law: text and materials. Cambridge University Press.

COM(2005) 672 final, Commission Green Paper on damages actions for breach of EC antitrust rules. (n.d.).

COM(2009) 864 Commission Communication: Guidance on the Commission's enforcement priorities in applying art.82 EC to abusive exclusionary conduct by dominant undertakings, 9.2.2009. (n.d.).

Commission (DG Competition) discussion paper on the application of article 82 of the treaty to exclusionary abuses, December 2005. (n.d.).

Commission guidelines contained in articles 81 and 82 EC (OJ 2004 C101/81). (n.d.).

Commission Guidelines on the application of art.81 (3) (OJ 2004 C101/97). (n.d.).

Commission Guidelines on the assessment of horizontal mergers under the Council Regulation on the control of concentrations between undertakings (OJ 2004 C31/5). (n.d.).

Commission Guidelines on the assessment of non-horizontal mergers under the Council Regulation on the control of concentrations between undertakings (November 2007). (n.d.).

Commission Notice Guidelines on vertical restraints OJ 2000 C291/1. (n.d.).

Commission Notice on agreements of minor importance which do not appreciably restrict competition under Article 81 (1) of the Treaty (de minimis) OJ 2001 C368/13. (n.d.).

Commission Regulation (EC) 2790/1999 on the application of Article 81 (3) of the Treaty to category of vertical agreements and concerted practices OJ 1999 L336/21. (n.d.).

Commission's Notice on immunity from fines and reduction of fines in cartel cases (OJ 2006 C298/17). (n.d.).

Common Market Law Reports. (n.d.). databaseName

Common market law review. (n.d.).

Competition Law Forum's art.82 review group. (2006). The reform of art.82: comments on the DG Competition Discussion paper of art.82 to exclusionary abuses. European Competition Journal. databaseName

Competition Law Review. (n.d.). databaseName

Conrad & C. (2003). Strategies to reform the regulations on international competition. World Competition, 26. databaseName

Craig, P. P. & De Bu

rca, G. (2007). EU law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Doherty & B. (2001). Just what are essential facilities? Common Market Law Review, 38.

Dolmans, M and Graf, & T. (2004). Analysis of tying under art.82 EC: the European Commission's Microsoft decision in perspective. World Competition, 27. databaseName

Drauz & G. (n.d.). Unbundling GE/Honeywell: the Assessment of Conglomerate Mergers Under EC Competition Law.

Http://Www.Thesedonaconference.Org/Conferences/20011108/Handouts/Pdf/Portfolio%20Power%20in%20Fordham.Pdf.

Drexl & J. (2004). International competition policy after Cancun: placing a Singapore Issue on the WTO Development Agenda. World Competition, 27. databaseName

EC Treaty. (n.d.-a).

EC Treaty. (n.d.-b).

EC Treaty. (n.d.-c).

EC Treaty. (n.d.-d).

EC Treaty. (n.d.-e).

Economist. (n.d.).

ECJBlog.com. (n.d.).

Editorial Comment. (2008). A little more action please! The White Paper on damages actions for breach of the EC antitrust rules. Common Market Law Review.

Eilmansberger & T. (2005). How to distinguish good from bad competition under art.82: in search of clearer and more coherent standards for anti-competitive abuses. Common Market Law Review, 42.

Eilmansberger & T. (2007). The Green Paper on damages actions for breach of the EC antitrust rules and beyond: reflections on the utility and feasability of stimulating private enforcement through legislative action. Common Market Law Review, 44.

EU Law Blog. (n.d.).

EUobserver. (n.d.).

EurActiv | European Union Information Website (EU and Europe). (n.d.).

EUR-Lex. (n.d.-a).

EUR-Lex. (n.d.-b).

Europa - European Commission - Competition. (n.d.).

European Commission and EU Member States communication of 2002 to the Working Group on the interaction between trade and competition policy WT/WGTCP/W/22 (19.11.02). (n.d.). Http://Trade.Ec.Europa.Eu/Doclib/Docs/2004/March/Tradoc 113332.Pdf.

European competition law review. (n.d.-a). databaseName

European competition law review. (n.d.-b). databaseName

European Court Reports. (n.d.). databaseName

European law review. (n.d.).

European public law. (n.d.).

European Union. (n.d.). European voice: a weekly view of the Union. Economist Group.

Fox & E. (1981). The new American competition policy - from anti-trust to pro-efficiency. European Competition Law Review. databaseName

Fox & E. (1999). The Merger Regulation and its territorial reach: Gencor v Commission. European Competition Law Review. databaseName

Fox & E. (2003). We protect competition; you protect competitors. World Competition, 26. databaseName

Furse, Mark. (2008a). Competition law of the EC and UK (6th ed). Oxford University Press.

Furse, Mark. (2008b). Competition law of the EC and UK (6th ed). Oxford University Press.

Furse, Mark. (2008c). Competition law of the EC and UK (6th ed). Oxford University Press.

Furse, Mark. (2008d). Competition law of the EC and UK (6th ed). Oxford University Press.

Furse, Mark. (2008e). Competition law of the EC and UK (6th ed). Oxford University Press.

Furse, Mark. (2008f). Competition law of the EC and UK (6th ed). Oxford University Press. Furse, Mark. (2008g). Competition law of the EC and UK (6th ed). Oxford University Press.

Furse, Mark. (2008h). Competition law of the EC and UK (6th ed). Oxford University Press.

Furse, Mark. (2008i). Competition law of the EC and UK (6th ed). Oxford University Press. Gerber, David J. (2001). Law and competition in twentieth century Europe: protecting Prometheus ([Updated pbk. ed.]). Oxford University Press. http://library.kent.ac.uk/cgi-bin/resources.cgi?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/978

0199244010.001.0001

Goyder, D. G., Goyder, Joanna, & Albors-Llorens, Albertina. (2009). Goyder's EC competition law (5th ed). Oxford University Press.

Grewlich & A. (2001). Globalisation and conflict in competition law. World Competition, 24. databaseName

http://curia.europa.eu/jurisp/cgi-bin/form.pl?lang=en. (n.d.).

International news | euronews, latest international news. (n.d.).

Jenny & F. (2004). Competition, trade and development before and after Cancun. Annual Proceedings of the Fordham Law Corporate Institute, International Antitrust, 30.

Jones & A. (1993). Woodpulp: concerted practice and/or conscious parallelism. European Competition Law Review, 14. databaseName

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, & Sufrin, B. E. (2011a). EU competition law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, & Sufrin, B. E. (2011b). EU competition law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, & Sufrin, B. E. (2011c). EU competition law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, & Sufrin, B. E. (2011d). EU competition law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, & Sufrin, B. E. (2011e). EU competition law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, & Sufrin, B. E. (2011f). EU competition law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, & Sufrin, B. E. (2011g). EU competition law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, & Sufrin, B. E. (2011h). EU competition law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Jones, Alison, Jones, Alison, & Sufrin, B. E. (2011i). EU competition law: text, cases, and materials (4th ed). Oxford University Press.

Kaczorowska & A. (2000). International competition law in the context of global capitalism. European Competition Law Review. databaseName

Kallaugher & J. (2004). Rebates revisited: anti-competitive effects and exclusionary abuse under art.82. European Competition Law Review, 25. databaseName

Kjolbye & L. (2004). The new Commission guidelines on the application of art.81 (3): an economic approach to art.81. European Competition Law Review, 25. databaseName

Korah, Valentine. (2006). Cases and materials on EC competition law (3rd ed). Hart Pub.

Korah, Valentine. (2007). An introductory guide to EC competition law and practice (9th ed). Hart Pub.

Lange, D and Sandage, & J. (1989). The Wood Pulp decision and its implications for the scope of EC Competition Law. Common Market Law Review.

Law & policy. (n.d.). databaseName

Legal issues of European integration. (n.d.). databaseName

Levy & N. (2003). EU merger control: from birth to adolescence. World Competition, 26. databaseName

Levy, N and O'Donoghue, & R. (2004). The EU leniency programme comes of age. World Competition, 27. databaseName

Lianos & I. (2008). Collusion in vertical relations under art.81 EC. Common Market Law Review, 45.

Liesner, J and Glynn, & D. (1987). Does anti-trust make economic sense? European Competition Law Review. databaseName

Loewenthal & P-J. (2005). The defence of 'objective justification' in the application of art.82 EC. World Competition, 28. databaseName

Lugard & P. (2004). Honey, I shrunk the article! A critical assessment of the Commission's Notice on art.81 (3). European Competition Law Review, 25. databaseName

Maher M. Dabbah. (2004). EC and UK Competition Law: Commentary, Cases and Materials (illustrated edition). Cambridge University Press.

Meibom, W and Geiger, & A. (2002). A world competition law as an ultima ratio. European Competition Law Review. databaseName

Middleton & K. (2009). Blackstone's UK and EC Competition Documents (6th ed.).

Monti & G. (2001). The scope of collective dominance under art.82. Common Market Law Review, 38.

Monti, Giorgio. (2007a). EC competition law: Vol. Law in context. Cambridge University Press.

Monti, Giorgio. (2007b). EC competition law: Vol. Law in context. Cambridge University Press.

Monti, Giorgio. (2007c). EC competition law: Vol. Law in context. Cambridge University Press.

Monti, Giorgio. (2007d). EC competition law: Vol. Law in context. Cambridge University Press.

Monti, Giorgio. (2007e). EC competition law: Vol. Law in context. Cambridge University Press.

Monti, Giorgio. (2007f). EC competition law: Vol. Law in context. Cambridge University Press.

Monti, Giorgio. (2007g). EC competition law: Vol. Law in context. Cambridge University Press.

Monti, Giorgio. (2007h). EC competition law: Vol. Law in context. Cambridge University Press.

Nazzini & R. (2006). Art.81 EC between time present and time past: a normative critique of 'restriction of competition' in EU law. Common Market Law Review, 43.

Oceans apart. (2008). Economist, 387.

Odudu & O. (2001a). Interpreting art.81 (1): demonstrating restrictive effect. European Law Review.

Odudu & O. (2001b). Interpreting art.81 (1): object as subjective intention. European Law Review.

Odudu & O. (2001c). Interpreting art.81 (1): the object requirement revisited. European Law Review.

Odudu & O. (2002). A new economic approach to art.81 (1): case comment on Metropole TV (M6). European Law Review, 27.

Oliver & P. (2005). The concept of 'abuse' of a dominant position under art.82: recent developments in relation to pricing. European Competition Journal. databaseName

Pirie & M. (2000). The complainant in EC competition law. World Competition, 23. databaseName

Regulation 1/2003 on the implementation of the rules of competition laid down in articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty (OJ 2003 L1/1). (n.d.).

Regulation 139/2004 on the control of concentrations between undertakings (OJ 2004 L24/22) ('EC Merger Regulation'. (n.d.).

Schmidt & J. (2004). The new EMCR: 'significant impediment' or 'significant improvement'. Common Market Law Review, 41.

Sheehan & E. (1999). Unilateral refusals to deal and the role of the essential facility doctrine: a US/EC comparative perspective. World Competition, 22. databaseName

Slot, Piet Jan & Johnston, Angus. (2006). An introduction to competition law (1st English ed). Hart Publishing.

Steiner, Josephine, Woods, Lorna, & Steiner, Josephine. (2009). EU law (10th ed). Oxford University Press.

The trustbuster's tools. (1998). Economist, 346.

Vecchi & T. (2008). Unilateral conduct in an oligopoly according to the discussion paper on art.82: conscious parallelism or abuse of collective dominance? World Competition, 31.

## databaseName

Venit & J. (2003). Brave new world: the modernisation and decentralisation of enforcement under arts 81 and 82 EC. Common Market Law Review, 40.

Welcome to the European Parliament. (n.d.).

Whish, R and Sufrin, & B. (1987). Article 85 and the rule of reason. Yearbook of European Law, 7. databaseName

Whish, Richard. (2009). Competition law (6th ed). Oxford University Press.

Willimsky & S. (1997). The concept(s) of competition. European Competition Law Review, 1 . databaseName

Willis & P. (2002). You have the right to remain silent...or do you? The privilege against self-incrimination following Manesmannroehrenwerke and other recent decisions. European Competition Law Review. databaseName

Wils & W. (2003). Self-incrimination in EC antitrust enforcement: a legal and economic analysis. World Competition, 26. databaseName

Wils & W. (2004). The combination of the investigative and prosecutorial function and the adjudicative function in EC antitrust enforcement: a legal and economic analysis. World Competition, 27. databaseName

World competition. (n.d.). databaseName

Yearbook of European law. (n.d.). databaseName