

International Relations Theory

[View Online](#)

[1]

Adler and E 2002. Constructivism and IR. Handbook of international relations. Sage.

[2]

Adler and E 1997. Seizing the Middle Ground:: Constructivism in World Politics. European journal of international relations. 3, (1997).

[3]

Agamben, Giorgio and Heller-Roazen, Daniel 1998. Homo sacer: sovereign power and bare life. Stanford University Press.

[4]

Angell, N. The great illusion: a study of the relation of military power to national advantage . Heinemann.

[5]

Ashley and R. 1989. Living on border lines. International/intertextual relations: postmodern readings of world politics. Lexington Books.

[6]

Ashley and R. 1996. The achievements of post-structuralism. International theory: positivism and beyond. C.U.P.

[7]

Ashley and R. The geopolitics of political space. *Alternatives: a journal of world policy*.

[8]

Ashley and R. 1986. The poverty of Neo-realism. *Neorealism and its critics*. Columbia U.P.

[9]

Ayers, Alison J. 2008. *Gramsci, political economy, and international relations theory: modern princes and naked emperors*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[10]

Bain and W. 2000. Deconfusing Morgenthau: moral inquiry and classical realism reconsidered. *Review of international studies*. 26, (2000).

[11]

Baldwin, David A. 1993. *Neorealism and neoliberalism: the contemporary debate*. Columbia University Press.

[12]

Banks and M. 1985. The inter-paradigm debate. *International relations: a handbook of current theory*. Pinter.

[13]

Barnett et al. 1999. *The Politics, Power, and Pathologies of International Organizations*. International Organization: World Peace Foundation. 53, (1999).

[14]

Barry Buzan and Richard Little 2001. Why International Relations has Failed. Millennium: journal of international studies. 30, (2001).

[15]

Beitz, Charles R. 1999. Political theory and international relations. Princeton University Press.

[16]

Beitz, Charles R. and Alexander, Lawrence A. 1985. International ethics. Princeton University Press.

[17]

Bieler, Andreas and Morton, Adam David 2006. Images of Gramsci: connections and contentions in political theory and international relations. Routledge.

[18]

Boucher, David 1998. Political theories of international relations: from Thucydides to the present. Oxford University Press.

[19]

Brown, Chris et al. 2002. International relations in political thought: texts from the ancient Greeks to the First World War. Cambridge University Press.

[20]

Brown, Chris 1992. International relations theory: new normative approaches. Harvester Wheatsheaf.

[21]

Brown, Chris 2002. Sovereignty, rights, and justice: international political theory today. Polity.

[22]

Brown, Chris and Ainley, Kirsten 2009. Understanding international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[23]

Brown, Chris and Ainley, Kirsten 2009. Understanding international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[24]

Bull and H. 1969. International theory: The case for the classical approach. Contending approaches to international politics. Princeton University Press.

[25]

Bull and H. 1995. Society and anarchy in international relations. International theory: critical investigations. Macmillan.

[26]

Bull and H. 1995. The theory of international politics. International theory: critical investigations. Macmillan.

[27]

Bull, Hedley and Watson, Adam 1984. The Expansion of international society. Clarendon Press.

[28]

Burchill and S. 2009. Introduction. Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[29]

Burchill and S. 2009. Liberalism. Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[30]

Buzan and B 1996. The timeless wisdom of realism. International theory: positivism and beyond. C.U.P.

[31]

Buzan, Barry 1991. People, states and fear: an agenda for international security studies in the post-cold war era. Harvester Wheatsheaf.

[32]

Campbell 1998. MetaBosnia: narratives of the Bosnian War. Review of international studies . 24, (1998).

[33]

Campbell, David 1998. Writing security: United States foreign policy and the politics of identity. Manchester University Press.

[34]

Carr, Edward Hallett and Cox, Michael 2001. The twenty years' crisis, 1919-1939: an introduction to the study of international relations. Palgrave.

[35]

Carr, Edward Hallett and Cox, Michael 2001. The twenty years' crisis, 1919-1939: an introduction to the study of international relations. Palgrave.

[36]

Carr, Edward Hallett and Evans, Richard J. 2001. What is history?. Palgrave.

[37]

Chase-Dunn et al. 1977. Toward a Structural Perspective on the World-System. *Politics & society*. 7, (1977).

[38]

Christopher Chase-Dunn 1981. Interstate System and Capitalist World-Economy: One Logic or Two? *International studies quarterly*. 25, (1981).

[39]

Clark, Ian et al. 1996. Classical theories of international relations. in association with St. Antony's College, Oxford.

[40]

Clark, Ian 1989. The hierarchy of states: reform and resistance in the international order. C.U.P.

[41]

Clark, Ian and Clark, Ian 1989. The hierarchy of states: reform and resistance in the international order. Cambridge University Press.

[42]

Claude, Inis L. 1962. Power and international relations. Random House.

[43]

Cochran, Molly 1999. Normative theory in international relations: a pragmatic approach. Cambridge University Press.

[44]

Cox and R. 1981. Social forces, States and world orders. *Millennium: journal of international studies*. 10, (1981).

[45]

Cox and R. 1986. Social forces, States and world orders. Neorealism and its critics. Columbia U.P.

[46]

Cox, Robert W. 1996. Approaches to world order. Cambridge University Press.

[47]

Cox, Robert W. 1987. Production, power and world order: social forces in the making of history. Columbia University Press.

[48]

David Davies Memorial Institute of International Studies 2009. Forum on Waltz. International relations. 23, (2009).

[49]

Dessler and D 1999. Constructivism within a positivist social science. Review of international studies. 25, (1999).

[50]

Deudney et al. 1999. The nature and sources of liberal international order. Review of international studies. 25, (1999).

[51]

Devetak, R. 2005. Postmodernism. Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[52]

Devetak and R. 1996. Critical theory. Theories of international relations. St. Martin's Press.

[53]

Dillon, Michael and Neal, Andrew W. 2008. Foucault on politics, security and war. Palgrave Macmillan.

[54]

Donnelly, Jack 2000. Realism and international relations. Cambridge University Press.

[55]

Dunne et al. 2008. Realism. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford University Press.

[56]

Dunne and T. 2008. Liberalism. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford University Press.

[57]

Dunne and T. 2003. Society and hierarchy in international relations. International relations. 17, (2003).

[58]

Dunne and T. 1995. The social construction of international society. European journal of international relations. 1, (1995).

[59]

Dunne, Tim and St. Antony's College (University of Oxford) 1998. Inventing international society: a history of the English school. Macmillan in association with St. Antony's College, Oxford.

[60]

Dunne, Timothy et al. 2007. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[61]

Dunne, Timothy et al. 2007. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[62]

Dunne, Timothy et al. 2007. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[63]

Dunne, Timothy et al. 2007. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[64]

Dunne, Timothy et al. 2007. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[65]

Dunne, Timothy et al. 2007. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[66]

Dunne, Timothy et al. 2007. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[67]

Dunne, Timothy et al. 2007. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[68]

Dunne, Timothy et al. 2007. International relations theories: discipline and diversity. Oxford University Press.

[69]

Edkins, Jenny 1999. Poststructuralism & international relations: bringing the political back in. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

[70]

Elshtain and B. 1998. Women and War: ten years on. Review of international studies. 24, (1998).

[71]

Elshtain and J. 1997. Feminist inquiry and international relations. New thinking in international relations theory. Westview.

[72]

Elshtain and J. 1995. International politics and political theory. International relations theory today. Polity.

[73]

Elshtain and J. 1995. International politics and political theory. International relations theory today. Polity.

[74]

Enloe, Cynthia H 1990. Bananas, beaches, and bases: making feminist sense of international politics. University of California.

[75]

Fierke and K.M. 2002. Links across the Abyss: Language and Logic in International Relations. *International studies quarterly*. 46, (2002).

[76]

Frost and M. 1998. A turn not taken: Ethics in IR at the millenium. *Review of international studies*. 24, (1998).

[77]

Frost and M. 1998. Migrants, civil society and sovereign states: Investigating an ethical hierarchy. *Political studies: the journal of the Political Studies Association of the U.K.* 46, (1998).

[78]

Frost, Mervyn 1996. *Ethics in international relations: a constitutive theory*. C.U.P.

[79]

Garnett and J. 1992. *State-centric perspectives. Dilemmas of world politics: international issues in a changing world*. Oxford University Press.

[80]

Germain et al. 1998. Engaging Gramsci: international relations theory and the new Gramscians. *Review of international studies*. 24, (1998).

[81]

Gill, Stephen 1993. *Gramsci, historical materialism and international relations*. Cambridge University Press.

[82]

Gill, Stephen 2003. Power and resistance in the new world order. Palgrave Macmillan.

[83]

Gilpin and R 1986. The richness of the tradition of political realism. Neorealism and its critics. Columbia U.P.

[84]

Gilpin, Robert 1981. War and change in world politics. Cambridge University Press.

[85]

Grant, Rebecca and Newland, Kathleen 1991. Gender and international relations. Open U.P., in assoc. with Millennium: journal of international studies.

[86]

Greico and J. 1988. Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. International Organization: World Peace Foundation. 42, (1988).

[87]

Griffiths, Martin 1992. Realism, idealism, and international politics: a reinterpretation. Routledge.

[88]

Guzzini and S 2000. A Reconstruction of Constructivism in International Relations. European journal of international relations. 6, (2000).

[89]

Guzzini and S. 1993. Structural power: The limits of neorealism power analysis. International Organization: World Peace Foundation. 47, (1993).

[90]

Guzzini, Stefano 1998. Realism in international relations and international political economy: the continuing story of a death foretold. Routledge.

[91]

Hasenclever, Andreas et al. 1997. Theories of international regimes. Cambridge University Press.

[92]

Hidemi Suganami 2001. Alexander Wendt and the English School. (2001).

[93]

Hobson, John M. 2000. The state and international relations. Cambridge University Press.

[94]

Hoffman and M. 1987. Critical Theory and the Inter-Paradigm Debate. Millennium: journal of international studies. 16, (1987).

[95]

Hoffmann and M. 1994. Normative international relations theory: approaches and issues. Contemporary international relations: a guide to theory. Pinter.

[96]

Hoffmann, Stanley 1981. Duties beyond borders: on the limits and possibilities of ethical international politics. Syracuse University Press.

[97]

Hollis and M. 1996. The last post? International theory: positivism and beyond. C.U.P.

[98]

Hollis, Martin and Smith, Steve 1991. Explaining and understanding international relations. Oxford University Press.

[99]

Hollis, Martin and Smith, Steve 1991. Explaining and understanding international relations. Oxford University Press.

[100]

Hopf and T 1998. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. International security. 23, (1998).

[101]

Hurrell and A. 2002. Norms and ethics in IR. Handbook of international relations. Sage.

[102]

Hutchings, Kimberly 1999. International political theory: rethinking ethics in a global era. SAGE.

[103]

Hutchings, Kimberly 1999. International political theory: rethinking ethics in a global era. SAGE.

[104]

Institute for World Order 1993. Feminists write IR. Alternatives: a journal of world policy. (1993).

[105]

Jackson and R. 1996. Is there a classical international theory? International theory: positivism and beyond. C.U.P.

[106]

Jackson and R. 2008. The evolution of international society. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations. Oxford University Press.

[107]

Jackson and R. 1995. The political theory of international society. International relations theory today. Polity.

[108]

Jackson, Robert H. 2005. Classical and modern thought on international relations: from anarchy to cosmopolis. Palgrave Macmillan.

[109]

Jackson, Robert H. 2005. Classical and modern thought on international relations: from anarchy to cosmopolis. Palgrave Macmillan.

[110]

Jackson, Robert H. and Sørensen, Georg 2007. Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches. Oxford University Press.

[111]

Jackson, Robert H. and Sørensen, Georg 2007. Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches. Oxford University Press.

[112]

Jackson, Robert H. and Sørensen, Georg 2007. Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches. Oxford University Press.

[113]

Jackson, Robert H. and Sørensen, Georg 2003. Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches. Oxford University Press.

[114]

Jackson, Robert H. and Sørensen, Georg 2007. Introduction to international relations: theories and approaches. Oxford University Press.

[115]

Jahn, Beate 2006. Classical theory in international relations. Cambridge University Press.

[116]

John J. Mearsheimer 1990. Back to the Future: Instability in Europe after the Cold War. International security. 15, (1990).

[117]

Jones and A. 1996. s:43:"Does. Review of international studies. 22, (1996).

[118]

Kaplan and M. 1969. The New Great Debate: Traditionalism vs. Science in International Relations. Contending approaches to international politics. Princeton University Press.

[119]

Kaplan and M. 1966. The New Great Debate: Traditionalism vs. Science in International Relations. World politics: a quarterly journal of international relations. 19, (1966).

[120]

Kennan, George F. 1952. American diplomacy, 1900-1950. Secker & Warburg.

[121]

Kennan, George F. American diplomacy, 1900-1950. New English Library.

[122]

Keohane R. 1988. International Institutions: Two Approaches. International studies quarterly. 32, (1988).

[123]

Keohane and R. 1995. International Institutions: Two Approaches. International theory: critical investigations. Macmillan.

[124]

Keohane and R. 1999. Theory of world politics: Structural realism and beyond. International relations theory: realism, pluralism, globalism, and beyond. Allyn and Bacon.

[125]

Keohane and R. 1989. Theory of world politics: Structural realism and beyond. International institutions and state power: essays in international relations theory. Westview Press.

[126]

Keohane, Robert O. 1984. After hegemony: cooperation and discord in the world political economy. Princeton University Press.

[127]

Keohane, Robert Owen and Nye, Joseph S. 1977. Power and interdependence: world politics in transition. Little, Brown.

[128]

Klotz, Audie 1995. Norms in international relations: the struggle against apartheid. Cornell University Press.

[129]

Kolodziej, Edward A. 2005. Security and international relations. Cambridge University Press.

[130]

Kolodziej, Edward A. 2005. Security and international relations. Cambridge University Press.

[131]

Krasner and S. 1993. Westphalia and all that. Ideas and foreign policy: beliefs, institutions, and political change. Cornell University Press.

[132]

Krasner, Stephen D. and Center for International and Strategic Affairs 1989. International regimes. Cornell U.P.

[133]

Kratochwil and F. 1993. The embarrassment of changes: neo-realism as the science of Realpolitik without politics. Review of international studies. 19, (1993).

[134]

Lapid and J 1989. The Third Debate. International studies quarterly. 33, (1989).

[135]

Lenin, Vladimir Ilich Imperialism, the highest stage of capitalism: a popular outline. Progress Publishers.

[136]

Leppgold and J. Is Anyone Listening? International Relations Theory and the Problem of Policy Relevance. Political science quarterly. Academy of Political Science.

[137]

Linklater and A. 2005. Dialogic politics and the civilising process. Review of international studies. 31, (2005).

[138]

Linklater and A. 1996. Marxism. Theories of international relations. St. Martin's Press.

[139]

Linklater and A. 1995. Neo-realism in theory and practice. International relations theory today. Polity.

[140]

Linklater and A. 2001. Rationalism. Theories of international relations. Palgrave.

[141]

Linklater and A. 2005. The English school. Theories of international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.

[142]

Linklater, Andrew 1990. Beyond realism and Marxism: critical theory and international relations. Macmillan.

[143]

Linklater, Andrew 1990. *Beyond realism and Marxism: critical theory and international relations*. Macmillan.

[144]

Linklater, Andrew 2007. *Critical theory and world politics: citizenship, sovereignty and humanity*. Routledge.

[145]

Linklater, Andrew et al. 2006. *The English school of international relations: a contemporary reassessment*. Cambridge University Press.

[146]

Linklater, Andrew 1998. *The transformation of political community: ethical foundations of the Post-Westphalian era*. University of South Carolina Press.

[147]

Little and R. 1985. *Structuralism and realism. International relations: a handbook of current theory*. Pinter.

[148]

MacMillan, John 1998. *On liberal peace: democracy, war, and the international order*. Tauris Academic Studies.

[149]

Mearsheimer, John J. 2001. *The tragedy of Great Power politics*. W. W. Norton & Company.

[150]

Michael C. Williams 1996. *Hobbes and International Relations: A Reconsideration*.

International Organization: World Peace Foundation. 50, (1996).

[151]

Millikin and J 1999. You have access to this article via a personal or institutional subscription The Study of Discourse in International Relations:: A Critique of Research and Methods. European journal of international relations. 5, (1999).

[152]

Moravcsik and A 'Is something rotten in the state of Denmark?' Constructivism and European integration. Journal of European public policy. 6.

[153]

Morgenthau and H. 1995. The intellectual and political functions of theory. International theory: critical investigations. Macmillan.

[154]

Morgenthau, Hans Joachim et al. 2006. Politics among nations: the struggle for power and peace. McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

[155]

Morgenthau, Hans Joachim 1965. Scientific man vs. power politics. Phoenix Books.

[156]

Morgenthau, Hans Joachim 1965. Scientific man vs. power politics. University of Chicago Press.

[157]

Nardin, Terry 1983. Law, morality and the relations of states. Princeton U.P.

[158]

Neufeld and M 1993. Reflectivity and international relations. *Millennium: journal of international studies*. 22, (1993).

[159]

Nicholson and M. 2000. What's the use of international relations. *Review of international studies*. 26, (2000).

[160]

Nye and Joseph S. 1988. Neorealism and Neoliberalism. *World politics: a quarterly journal of international relations*. 40, (1988).

[161]

Olson, William Clinton and Groom, Arthur John Richard 1991. *International relations then and now: origins and trends in interpretation*. HarperCollins Academic.

[162]

Onuf, Nicholas Greenwood 1989. *World of our making: rules and rule in social theory and international relations*. University of South Carolina Press.

[163]

Osiander and A. 1998. Rereading early twentieth-century IR theory: Idealism revisited. *International studies quarterly*. 42, (1998).

[164]

Peterson and S 1992. Transgressing boundaries. *Millennium: journal of international studies*. 21, (1992).

[165]

Peterson, V. Spike 1992. Gendered states: feminist (re)visions of international relations

theory. Lynne Rienner.

[166]

Price et al. 1998. Dangerous Liaisons?: Critical International Theory and Constructivism. European journal of international relations. 4, (1998).

[167]

Reus-Smit and C. 1996. Constructivism. Theories of international relations. St. Martin's Press.

[168]

Richardson and J.L. 1997. Contending liberalisms - past and present. European journal of international relations. 3, (1997).

[169]

Robinson, William I. 1996. Promoting polyarchy globalization, US intervention, and hegemony. Cambridge University Press.

[170]

Rosenberg, Justin 1994. The empire of civil society: a critique of the realist theory of international relations. Verso.

[171]

Rupert and M. 2003. Globalising common sense: a Marxian-Gramscian (re-)vision of the politics of governance/resistance. Review of international studies. 29, (2003).

[172]

Rupert, Mark and Smith, Hazel 2002. Historical materialism and globalization. Routledge.

[173]

Schmidt and B. 1998. Lessons from the past: Reassessing the interwar disciplinary history of international relations. *International studies quarterly*. 42, (1998).

[174]

Schmidt and B. 2002. On the history and historiography of International Relations. *Handbook of international relations*. Sage.

[175]

Shapcott, Richard 2001. *Justice, community and dialogue in international relations*. Cambridge University Press.

[176]

Singer and D.J. 1982. The level-of-analysis problem in international relations. *The international system: theoretical essays*; ed K Knorr and S Verba. Greenwood Press.

[177]

Smith and S. 1997. *New approaches to international relations. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations*. Oxford University Press.

[178]

Smith and S. 2008. *New approaches to international theory. The globalization of world politics: an introduction to international relations*. Oxford University Press.

[179]

Smith and S. 1996. *Positivism and beyond. International theory: positivism and beyond*. C.U.P.

[180]

Smith and S. 1997. *Power of truth: A reply to William Wallace*. *Review of international*

studies. 23, (1997).

[181]

Smith and S. 1995. Ten self-images of a discipline. International relations theory today. Polity.

[182]

Snidal and D 2002. Rational choice and international relations. Handbook of international relations. Sage.

[183]

Steans, Jill 1998. Gender and international relations: an introduction. Polity.

[184]

Sylvester and C. 1996. The contributions of feminist theory to IR. International theory: positivism and beyond. C.U.P.

[185]

Sylvester, Christine 1994. Feminist theory and international relations in a postmodern era. Cambridge University Press.

[186]

Sylvester, Christine 1994. Feminist theory and international relations in a postmodern era. Cambridge University Press.

[187]

Thomas Risse 2000. 'Let's Argue!': Communicative Action in World Politics. International Organization: World Peace Foundation. 54, (2000).

[188]

Tickner and J. A. 1997. You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International studies quarterly*. 41, (1997).

[189]

Tickner, J. Ann 1992. *Gender in international relations: feminist perspectives on achieving global security*. Columbia University Press.

[190]

Tickner, J. Ann 2001. *Gendering world politics: issues and approaches in the post-Cold War era*. Columbia University Press.

[191]

Tickner and JA. 2006. On The Frontlines or Sidelines of Knowledge and Power? *International studies review*. 8, (2006).

[192]

True and J. 2009. *Feminism. Theories of international relations*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[193]

Tuck, Richard and Dawsonera 2001. *The rights of war and peace: political thought and the international order from Grotius to Kant*. Oxford University Press.

[194]

Vasquez and J. 1995. *The post-positive debate. International relations theory today*. Polity.

[195]

Vasquez, John A. 1998. *The power of power politics: from classical realism to neotraditionalism*. Cambridge University Press.

[196]

Vincent, Raymond John and Miller, John Donald Bruce 1990. Order and violence: Hedley Bull and international relations. Clarendon.

[197]

Viotti, Paul R. and Kauppi, Mark V. 1999. International relations theory: realism, pluralism, globalism, and beyond. Allyn and Bacon.

[198]

Wæver and O. 1995. International Society: Theoretical Promises Fulfilled? Cooperation and conflict: Nordic studies in international politics. 27, (1995).

[199]

Wæver and O. 1996. The rise and fall of the inter-paradigm debate. International theory: positivism and beyond. C.U.P.

[200]

Walker, R. B. J. 1993. Inside/outside: international relations as political theory. Cambridge University Press.

[201]

Waltz and K. 1986. Theory of international politics. Neorealism and its critics. Columbia U.P.

[202]

Waltz and Kenneth N 1990. Realist thought and neorealist theory. (1990).

[203]

Waltz and Kenneth N 1986. Reflections on Theory if international politics. Neorealism and its critics. Columbia U.P.

[204]

Waltz, Kenneth Neal 1979. Theory of international politics. Addison-Wesley Pub. Co.

[205]

Walzer, Michael 2006. Just and unjust wars: a moral argument with historical illustrations. Basic Books.

[206]

Welch and D 2003. Why International Relations theorists should stop reading Thucydides. Review of international studies. 29, (2003).

[207]

Wendt and A 1992. Anarchy is what states make of it: The social construction of power politics. International Organization: World Peace Foundation. 46, (1992).

[208]

Wendt and A 1995. Anarchy is what states make of it: The social construction of power politics. International theory: critical investigations. Macmillan.

[209]

Wendt and A. 1995. Constructing International Politics. International security. 20, (1995).

[210]

Wendt, Alexander 1999. Social theory of international politics. Cambridge University Press.

[211]

Wheeler et al. 1992. The security dilemma in theory. Dilemmas of world politics: international issues in a changing world. Oxford University Press.

[212]

Wheeler, Nicholas J. and Oxford University Press 2000. Saving strangers: humanitarian intervention in international society. Oxford University Press.

[213]

Whitworth and S. 1989. Gender in the Inter- Paradigm Debate. Millennium: journal of international studies. 18, (1989).

[214]

Wight and C. 1996. Incommensurability and Cross-Paradigm Communication in International Relations Theory. Millennium: journal of international studies. 25, (1996).

[215]

Wight and C. 1999. MetaCampbell: the epistemological problematics of perspectivism. Review of international studies. 25, (1999).

[216]

Wight and C. 2002. Philosophy if social science and IR. Handbook of international relations. Sage.

[217]

Wight and M. 1995. Why is there no international theory. International theory: critical investigations. Macmillan.

[218]

Wight, Martin et al. 1978. Power politics. Royal Institute of International Affairs.

[219]

Williams, Michael C. 2004. The realist tradition and the limits of international relations. Cambridge University Press.

[220]

English School of International Relations Theory, University of Leeds. English School of International Relations Theory | Politics and International Studies (POLIS) | University of Leeds.

[221]

2003. Forum on American realism. Review of international studies. 29, (2003).

[222]

2002. Forum on Waltz. Review of international studies. 28, (2002).

[223]

2000. Forum on Wendt. Review of international studies. 26, (2000).

[224]

1990. Special Issue. International studies quarterly. 34, (1990).