

# The family and the Law

[View Online](#)

1.

Doyle, Roddy. *The woman who walked into doors*. London: Jonathan Cape; 1996.

2.

Harris-Short S, Miles J, George RH. *Family law: text, cases, and materials*. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015.

3.

Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. *Vulnerabilities, care and family law* [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2014. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

4.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. *Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

5.

Professor Alison Diduck. *Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible?* 2014;44(5):581–756. Available from:  
[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk.chain.kent.ac.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_2014\\_05\\_12.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_2014\\_05\\_12&inner\\_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_2014\\_05\\_12](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk.chain.kent.ac.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12)

6.

Eekelaar J. "Not of the Highest Importance": Family justice under threat. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011 Dec;33(4):311-7.

7.

Diduck A. What is Family Law For? *Current Legal Problems*. 2011 Jan 1;64(1):287-314.

8.

Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. *Vulnerabilities, care and family law* [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2014. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

9.

Dewar J. The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review*. 1998 Jul;61(4):467-85.

10.

Diduck, Alison. Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible. Available from:  
<http://library.kent.ac.uk/cgi-bin/resources.cgi?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/1556285990/>

11.

Onati International Institute for the Sociology of Law. *Delivering family justice in the 21st century* [Internet]. Maclean M, Eekelaar J, Bastard B, editors. Vol. Onati international series in law and society. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2015. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259701>

12.

Hunter R. Doing violence to family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011 Dec;33(4):343-59.

13.

Barker N. Civil Partnerships: An Alternative to Marriage? An Analysis of the Application in *Ferguson and Others v United Kingdom*. *Family law*. 2012;42(5):548–51.

14.

Gaffney-Rhys R. Same-Sex Marriage But Not Mixed-Sex Partnerships: Should the Civil Partnership Act 2004 be extended to opposite-sex couples? *Child and family law quarterly*. 2014;26(2):173–95.

15.

Hunter RC, McGlynn C, Rackley E. *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart; 2010. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

16.

Probert R. When are we married? Void, non-existent and presumed marriages. *Legal Studies*. 2002 Sep;22(3):398–419.

17.

Probert R. The Evolving Concept of Non-Marriage. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2013;25(3):314–35.

18.

Barker N, Monk D, editors. *From civil partnerships to same-sex marriage: interdisciplinary reflections*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2015.

19.

Auchmuty R. *From civil partnerships to same-sex marriage: interdisciplinary reflections* [Internet]. Barker N, Monk D, editors. Oxfordshire, [England]: Routledge; 2015. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=2028271>

20.

Law Commission. Getting Married: A Scoping Paper [Internet]. Available from: [http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/Getting\\_Married\\_scoping\\_paper.pdf](http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/Getting_Married_scoping_paper.pdf)

21.

Fowler E. A Queer Critique on the Polygamy Debate in Canada: Law, Culture, and Diversity. *Dalhousie journal of legal studies* [Internet]. 2012;21:93-125. Available from: [http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110985822460002&reclxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default\\_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&reclxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866)

22.

Case in Point: Is Consummation a Legal Oddity? [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.solicitorsjournal.com/blog/case-point-consummation-legal-oddity>

23.

Idriss MM. Forced Marriages - the Need for Criminalisation? *Criminal law review*. 2015;9:687-703.

24.

Camplin H, Scott E. "We Are a Group of Feminist Lawyers Doing What We Can": An Interview with Emma Scott, Director of Rights of Women. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 2015 Nov;23(3):319-28.

25.

Treloar R. High Conflict Post-Separation Disputes Involving Family Violence in a Neoliberal

Context: British Columbia, Canada. Child and family law quarterly. 2016;28(2):111-32.

26.

Burton M. Emergency Barring Orders in Domestic Violence Cases: What can England and Wales learn from other European countries? Child and family law quarterly. 2015;27(1):25-42.

27.

McCarthy M, Hunt S. 'I Know it was Every Week, but I Can't be Sure if it was Every Day: Domestic Violence and Women with Learning Disabilities. Journal of applied research in intellectual disabilities. 2015;29.

28.

Gaffney-Rhys R. The Criminalisation of Forced Marriage in England and Wales: One Year On. Family law. 2015;45(11):1378-84.

29.

Gill AK, Anitha S. Forced marriage: introducing a social justice and human rights perspective [Internet]. London: Zed; 2011. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781848134645>

30.

Enright M. Choice, Culture and the Politics of Belonging: The Emerging Law of Forced and Arranged Marriage. Modern Law Review. 2009 May;72(3):331-59.

31.

Gill A, Anitha S. The illusion of protection? An analysis of forced marriage legislation and policy in the UK. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2009 Sep;31(3):257-69.

32.

Razack SH. Imperilled Muslim Women, Dangerous Muslim Men and Civilised Europeans:

Legal and Social Responses to Forced Marriages. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 2004;12(2):129-74.

33.

Rosier A. No-Fault Divorce: Where Next? *Family law*. 2015;45(12).

34.

Herring J, Probert R, Gilmore S. Great debates in family law. Second edition. Vol. Palgrave great debates in law. London: Palgrave; 2015.

35.

Nash E, Parker A. No Fault Divorce: The Australian Experience. *Family law*. 2016;46(3).

36.

Deech R. 'What's a Woman Worth? *Family law*. 2009;1140-5.

37.

What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future [Internet]. Available from: [https://www.iafl.com/cms\\_media/files/marital\\_property\\_agreements\\_past\\_present\\_and\\_future\\_baroness\\_hale.pdf?static=1](https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1)

38.

Thompson S. Levelling the Prenuptial Playing Field: Is Independent Legal Advice the Answer? *International Family Law* [Internet]. 2011;4:327-31. Available from: [http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclds=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&reclxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default\\_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dsmtp=1485872916343](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&reclxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dsmtp=1485872916343)

39.

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2003 Aug 1;17(2):173-210.

40.

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2003 Aug 1;17(2):173-210.

41.

What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future [Internet]. Available from: [https://www.iafl.com/cms\\_media/files/marital\\_property\\_agreements\\_past\\_present\\_and\\_future\\_baroness\\_hale.pdf?static=1](https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1)

42.

Bill 2014-15, second reading and committee stage debates: [Internet]. Available from: <http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2014-15/divorcefinancialprovisionbill/stages.html>

43.

Ruth Deech: A Clean Break | Big Issue [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bigissue.com/features/4369/ruth-deech-a-clean-break>

44.

Deech R. 'What's a Woman Worth? *Family law*. 2009;1140-5.

45.

Herring J, Harris P, George R. Ante-Nuptial Agreements: Fairness, Equality and Presumptions. *Law quarterly review*. 2011;127.

46.

Miles J, Mody P, Probert R, editors. Marriage rites and rights [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2015. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259657>

47.

Hale B. Equality and autonomy in family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011 Mar;33(1):3-14.

48.

MACLEAN M, EEKELAAR J. CHILD SUPPORT: THE BRITISH SOLUTION. 'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family'. 1993;7(2):205-29.

49.

Skinner C. Child Maintenance Reforms: Understanding Fathers' Expressive Agency and the Power of Reciprocity. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2013 Aug 1;27(2):242-65.

50.

Ellman IM, McKay S, Miles J, Bryson C. Child Support Judgments: Comparing Public Policy to the Public's Policy. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2014 Dec 1;28(3):274-301.

51.

Nutt T. "A Fresh Start for Child Maintenance"? Examining Recent Child Support Policy in Long-Term Historical Perspective. *Journal of social security law* [Internet]. 2007;14(3):118-30. Available from:  
[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110978984082391&indx=1&reclds=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110978984082391&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&a](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&a)



mp;tab=default\_tab&vl(freeText0)=Journal%20of%20Social%20Security%20Law&mp;dum=true&dstmp=1485873499493

52.

Welstead M. 'The Surrogacy Market'. Family law. 2016;

53.

Elsworth M, Gamble N. Are Contracts and Pre-Birth Orders the Way Forward for UK Surrogacy? International Family Law [Internet]. 2015;2. Available from: [http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&sTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&indx=1&recIds=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&recIdxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&mp;tab=default\\_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873723028](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&sTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&recIds=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&recIdxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&mp;tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873723028)

54.

Yeatman L. 'Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers'. Family law. 2013;43(12):1581-7.

55.

Wood C. The Pitfalls of Assisted Reproduction: When is a parent a legal parent? Family law. 2016;October:1242-6.

56.

Fenton-Glynn C. 'The Regulation and Recognition of Surrogacy under English Law: An Overview of the Case-Law. Child and family law quarterly. 2015;83.

57.

Surrogacy in the UK: Myth Busting and Reform: Report of the Surrogacy UK Working Group

on Surrogacy Law Reform [Internet]. Available from:  
<https://www.kent.ac.uk/law/research/projects/current/surrogacy/Surrogacy%20in%20the%20UK%20Report%20FINAL.pdf>

58.

Julie Wallbank. Channelling the messiness of diverse family lives: resisting the calls to order and de-centring the hetero-normative family. *Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law* [Internet]. 32(4):353–68. Available from:  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/chain.kent.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/09649069.2010.539355>

59.

Probert R, Gilmore S, Herring J. *Responsible parents and parental responsibility*. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2009.

60.

Herring J, Foster C. Welfare means relationality, virtue and altruism. *Legal Studies*. 2012 Sep;32(3):480–98.

61.

Gilmore S. Withdrawal of Parental Responsibility: Lost Authority and a Lost Opportunity. *The Modern Law Review*. 2015 Nov;78(6):1042–56.

62.

Probert R, Gilmore S, Herring J. *Responsible parents and parental responsibility*. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2009.

63.

Reece H. The Paramountcy Principle: Consensus or Construct? *Current Legal Problems*. 1996 Jan 1;49(1):267–304.

64.

Hunter R. Close Encounters of a Judicial Kind: "Hearing" Children's "Voices" in Family Law Proceedings. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2007;19.

65.

Herring J. The welfare principle and the children act: presumably it's about welfare? *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2014 Jan 2;36(1):14-25.

66.

Reece H. 'Parental Responsibility as Therapy. *Family law*. 2009;

67.

Barnett A. 'Contact at all costs? Domestic violence and children's welfare'. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2014;26(4).

68.

Yeatman L. 'Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers'. *Family law*. 2013;43(12):1581-7.

69.

Hale B. New families and the welfare of children. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2014 Jan 2;36(1):26-35.

70.

'The rise and fall of presumptions surrounding the welfare principle [Internet]. Available from:  
[http://www.jordanpublishing.co.uk/practice-areas/family/news\\_and\\_comment/Herring-MayF LJ2013-553#.WJCqP1OLS70](http://www.jordanpublishing.co.uk/practice-areas/family/news_and_comment/Herring-MayF LJ2013-553#.WJCqP1OLS70)

71.

Bremner P. Lesbian parents and biological fathers – leave to apply for contact. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2014 Jan 2;36(1):79-81.

72.

Kaganas F. 'A Presumption That Involvement of Both Parents Is Best: Deciphering Law's Messages. Child and family law quarterly. 2013;25.

73.

Hunter RC, McGlynn C, Rackley E. Feminist judgments: from theory to practice [Internet]. Oxford: Hart; 2010. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

74.

Bridgeman J, Keating HM, Lind C, editors. Regulating family responsibilities. London: Taylor & Francis Ltd; 2016.

75.

Rhoades H. Legislating to promote children's welfare and the quest for certainty. Child and family law quarterly. 2012;24(2).

76.

Munby J. 'the14th View from the President's Chambers: care cases: settlement conferences and the tandem model'. Family law. 2016;46(9).

77.

Sloan B. LOVING BUT POTENTIALLY HARMFUL PARENTS IN THE SUPREME COURT. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2014 Mar;73(01):28-31.

78.

Holt K, Kelly N. At a crossroads: to issue or not to issue care proceedings. Family law. 2016;Dec:1450-5.

79.

Slater L. 'It's voluntary! Accommodation under s.20 of the Children Act 1989. Family law. 2016;Sept:1141-6.

80.

Delahunty J, Barnes C. Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 1: An introduction. Family law. 2016;Feb.

81.

Delahunty J, Barnes C. Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 2: Practicalities and pitfalls'. Family law. 2016;March.

82.

Delahunty J, Barnes C. 'Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 3: Threshold'. Family law. 2016;June.

83.

Fox Harding LM. The Children Act 1989 in context: Four perspectives in Child Care Law and Policy (II). Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 1991 Jul;13(4):285-302.

84.

Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. Vulnerabilities, care and family law [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2014. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

85.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

86.

Wellbourne P. Safeguarding Children on the Edge of Care: Policy for Keeping Children Safe after the Review of the Child Care Proceedings System, Care Matters and the Carter Review of Legal Aid. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2008;20.

87.

Proudman C, Trevena F. 'Setting parents up to fail: punishing hopeless parents is integral to care proceedings. *Family law*. 2012;Aug.

88.

Doughty J. Myths and Misunderstanding in Adoption Law and Policy. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2015;27.

89.

Phillimore S. Contesting the making of an adoption order: *Re W (A child)*. *Family law*. 2016;Sept:1068–72.

90.

Bainham A. THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2009 Jul;68(02).

91.

McFarlane A. Nothing Else Will Do. *Family law*. 2016;Dec:1403–12.

92.

Harwin J. Considering the case for parity in policy and practice between adoption and special guardianship: findings from a population wide study. *Family law*. 2016;Feb.

93.

Phillimore S. Making an Adoption Order: What is the Test? *Family law*. 2016;46:1021–4.

94.

Bainham A. PERMANENCE FOR CHILDREN: SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP OR ADOPTION? The Cambridge Law Journal. 2007 Nov;66(03).

95.

Bainham A. THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2009 Jul;68(02).

96.

Holt K, Kelly N. When adoption without parental consent breaches human rights: implications of [2013] EWCA Civ 963 on decision making and permanency planning for children. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2015 Apr 3;37(2):228-40.

97.

Sloan B. POST-ADOPTION CONTACT REFORM: COMPOUNDING THE STATE-ORDERED TERMINATION OF PARENTHOOD? The Cambridge Law Journal. 2014 Jul;73(02):378-404.

98.

Marshall J. CONCEALED BIRTHS, ADOPTION AND HUMAN RIGHTS LAW: BEING WARY OF SEEKING TO OPEN WINDOWS INTO PEOPLE'S SOULS. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2012 Jul;71(02):325-54.