

# The family and the Law

[View Online](#)

1.

Doyle, Roddy. The woman who walked into doors. London: Jonathan Cape; 1996.

2.

Harris-Short S, Miles J, George RH. Family law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015.

3.

Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. Vulnerabilities, care and family law [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2014. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

4.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

5.

Professor Alison Diduck. Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible? 2014;44(5):581-756. Available from:  
[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk.chain.kent.ac.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_2014\\_05\\_12.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_2014\\_05\\_12&inner\\_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_2014\\_05\\_12](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk.chain.kent.ac.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12)

6.

Eekelaar J. "Not of the Highest Importance": Family justice under threat. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011 Dec;33(4):311–317.

7.

Diduck A. What is Family Law For? *Current Legal Problems*. 2011 Jan 1;64(1):287–314.

8.

Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. *Vulnerabilities, care and family law* [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2014. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

9.

Dewar J. The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review*. 1998 Jul;61(4):467–485.

10.

Diduck, Alison. Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible. Available from:  
<http://library.kent.ac.uk/cgi-bin/resources.cgi?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/1556285990/>

11.

Onati International Institute for the Sociology of Law. *Delivering family justice in the 21st century* [Internet]. Maclean M, Eekelaar J, Bastard B, editors. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2015. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259701>

12.

Hunter R. Doing violence to family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011

Dec;33(4):343–359.

13.

Barker N. Civil Partnerships: An Alternative to Marriage? An Analysis of the Application in *Ferguson and Others v United Kingdom*. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2012;42(5):548–551.

14.

Gaffney-Rhys R. Same-Sex Marriage But Not Mixed-Sex Partnerships: Should the Civil Partnership Act 2004 be extended to opposite-sex couples? *Child and family law quarterly*. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2014;26(2):173–195.

15.

Hunter RC, McGlynn C, Rackley E. *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart; 2010. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

16.

Probert R. When are we married? Void, non-existent and presumed marriages. *Legal Studies*. 2002 Sep;22(3):398–419.

17.

Probert R. The Evolving Concept of Non-Marriage. *Child and family law quarterly*. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2013;25(3):314–335.

18.

Barker N, Monk D, editors. *From civil partnerships to same-sex marriage: interdisciplinary reflections*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2015.

19.

Auchmuty R. From civil partnerships to same-sex marriage: interdisciplinary reflections [Internet]. Barker N, Monk D, editors. Oxfordshire, [England]: Routledge; 2015. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=2028271>

20.

Law Commission. Getting Married: A Scoping Paper [Internet]. Available from: [http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/Getting\\_Married\\_scoping\\_paper.pdf](http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/Getting_Married_scoping_paper.pdf)

21.

Fowler E. A Queer Critique on the Polygamy Debate in Canada: Law, Culture, and Diversity. Dalhousie journal of legal studies [Internet]. 2012;21:93-125. Available from: [http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110985822460002&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default\\_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866)

22.

Case in Point: Is Consummation a Legal Oddity? [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.solicitorsjournal.com/blog/case-point-consummation-legal-oddity>

23.

Idriss MM. Forced Marriages - the Need for Criminalisation? Criminal law review. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2015;9:687-703.

24.

Camplin H, Scott E. "We Are a Group of Feminist Lawyers Doing What We Can": An Interview with Emma Scott, Director of Rights of Women. Feminist Legal Studies. 2015 Nov;23(3):319-328.

25.

Treloar R. High Conflict Post-Separation Disputes Involving Family Violence in a Neoliberal Context: British Columbia, Canada. *Child and family law quarterly*. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2016;28(2):111–132.

26.

Burton M. Emergency Barring Orders in Domestic Violence Cases: What can England and Wales learn from other European countries? *Child and family law quarterly*. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2015;27(1):25–42.

27.

McCarthy M, Hunt S. 'I Know it was Every Week, but I Can't be Sure if it was Every Day: Domestic Violence and Women with Learning Disabilities. *Journal of applied research in intellectual disabilities*. Clevedon: BILD Publications; 2015;29.

28.

Gaffney-Rhys R. The Criminalisation of Forced Marriage in England and Wales: One Year On. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2015;45(11):1378–1384.

29.

Gill AK, Anitha S. Forced marriage: introducing a social justice and human rights perspective [Internet]. London: Zed; 2011. Available from: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781848134645>

30.

Enright M. Choice, Culture and the Politics of Belonging: The Emerging Law of Forced and Arranged Marriage. *Modern Law Review*. 2009 May;72(3):331–359.

31.

Gill A, Anitha S. The illusion of protection? An analysis of forced marriage legislation and policy in the UK. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2009 Sep;31(3):257–269.

32.

Razack SH. Imperilled Muslim Women, Dangerous Muslim Men and Civilised Europeans: Legal and Social Responses to Forced Marriages. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 2004;12(2):129–174.

33.

Rosier A. No-Fault Divorce: Where Next? *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2015;45(12).

34.

Herring J, Probert R, Gilmore S. *Great debates in family law*. Second edition. London: Palgrave; 2015.

35.

Nash E, Parker A. No Fault Divorce: The Australian Experience. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;46(3).

36.

Deech R. 'What's a Woman Worth? *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2009;1140–1145.

37.

What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future [Internet]. Available from: [https://www.iafl.com/cms\\_media/files/marital\\_property\\_agreements\\_past\\_present\\_and\\_future\\_baroness\\_hale.pdf?static=1](https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1)

38.

Thompson S. Levelling the Prenuptial Playing Field: Is Independent Legal Advice the Answer? *International Family Law* [Internet]. 2011;4:327–331. Available from: [http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail)

sTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\_SFX\_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclDs=44KEN\_SFX\_DS991042728070824&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\_SFX\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\_CALM\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\_MODES\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\_EPR\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\_MW%29%2Cprimo\_central\_multiple\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default\_tab&vl(freeText0)=International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485872916343

39.

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2003 Aug 1;17(2):173-210.

40.

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2003 Aug 1;17(2):173-210.

41.

What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future [Internet]. Available from: [https://www.iafl.com/cms\\_media/files/marital\\_property\\_agreements\\_past\\_present\\_and\\_future\\_baroness\\_hale.pdf?static=1](https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1)

42.

Bill 2014-15, second reading and committee stage debates: [Internet]. Available from: <http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2014-15/divorcefinancialprovisionbill/stages.html>

43.

Ruth Deech: A Clean Break | Big Issue [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bigissue.com/features/4369/ruth-deech-a-clean-break>

44.

Deech R. 'What's a Woman Worth? Family law. Chichester: [publisher not identified];

2009;1140–1145.

45.

Herring J, Harris P, George R. Ante-Nuptial Agreements: Fairness, Equality and Presumptions. *Law quarterly review*. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2011;127.

46.

Miles J, Mody P, Probert R, editors. *Marriage rites and rights* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2015. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259657>

47.

Hale B. Equality and autonomy in family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011 Mar;33(1):3–14.

48.

MACLEAN M, EEKELAAR J. CHILD SUPPORT: THE BRITISH SOLUTION. 'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family'. 1993;7(2):205–229.

49.

Skinner C. Child Maintenance Reforms: Understanding Fathers' Expressive Agency and the Power of Reciprocity. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2013 Aug 1;27(2):242–265.

50.

Ellman IM, McKay S, Miles J, Bryson C. Child Support Judgments: Comparing Public Policy to the Public's Policy. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2014 Dec 1;28(3):274–301.

51.



Nutt T. "A Fresh Start for Child Maintenance"? Examining Recent Child Support Policy in Long-Term Historical Perspective. *Journal of social security law* [Internet].

2007;14(3):118–130. Available from:

[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110978984082391&indx=1&reclDs=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110978984082391&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default\\_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=Journal%20of%20Social%20Security%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873499493](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&indx=1&reclDs=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=Journal%20of%20Social%20Security%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873499493)

52.

Welstead M. 'The Surrogacy Market'. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;

53.

Elsworth M, Gamble N. Are Contracts and Pre-Birth Orders the Way Forward for UK Surrogacy? *International Family Law* [Internet]. 2015;2. Available from:

[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclDs=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default\\_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873723028](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclDs=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873723028)

54.

Yeatman L. 'Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers'. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2013;43(12):1581–1587.

55.

Wood C. The Pitfalls of Assisted Reproduction: When is a parent a legal parent? *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;October:1242–1246.

56.

Fenton-Glynn C. 'The Regulation and Recognition of Surrogacy under English Law: An Overview of the Case-Law. Child and family law quarterly. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2015;83.

57.

Surrogacy in the UK: Myth Busting and Reform: Report of the Surrogacy UK Working Group on Surrogacy Law Reform [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.kent.ac.uk/law/research/projects/current/surrogacy/Surrogacy%20in%20the%20UK%20Report%20FINAL.pdf>

58.

Julie Wallbank. Channelling the messiness of diverse family lives: resisting the calls to order and de-centring the hetero-normative family. Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law [Internet]. Taylor & Francis Group; 32(4):353–368. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/chain.kent.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/09649069.2010.539355>

59.

Probert R, Gilmore S, Herring J. Responsible parents and parental responsibility. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2009.

60.

Herring J, Foster C. Welfare means relationality, virtue and altruism. Legal Studies. 2012 Sep;32(3):480–498.

61.

Gilmore S. Withdrawal of Parental Responsibility: Lost Authority and a Lost Opportunity. The Modern Law Review. 2015 Nov;78(6):1042–1056.

62.

Probert R, Gilmore S, Herring J. Responsible parents and parental responsibility. Oxford:

Hart Publishing; 2009.

63.

Reece H. The Paramountcy Principle: Consensus or Construct? Current Legal Problems. 1996 Jan 1;49(1):267-304.

64.

Hunter R. Close Encounters of a Judicial Kind: "Hearing" Children's "Voices" in Family Law Proceedings. Child and family law quarterly. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2007;19.

65.

Herring J. The welfare principle and the children act: presumably it's about welfare? Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2014 Jan 2;36(1):14-25.

66.

Reece H. 'Parental Responsibility as Therapy. Family law. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2009;

67.

Barnett A. 'Contact at all costs? Domestic violence and children's welfare'. Child and family law quarterly. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2014;26(4).

68.

Yeatman L. 'Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers'. Family law. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2013;43(12):1581-1587.

69.

Hale B. New families and the welfare of children. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2014 Jan 2;36(1):26-35.

70.

'The rise and fall of presumptions surrounding the welfare principle [Internet]. Available from:  
[http://www.jordanpublishing.co.uk/practice-areas/family/news\\_and\\_comment/Herring-MayF LJ2013-553#.WJCqP1OLS70](http://www.jordanpublishing.co.uk/practice-areas/family/news_and_comment/Herring-MayF LJ2013-553#.WJCqP1OLS70)

71.

Bremner P. Lesbian parents and biological fathers – leave to apply for contact. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2014 Jan 2;36(1):79–81.

72.

Kaganas F. 'A Presumption That Involvement of Both Parents Is Best: Deciphering Law's Messages. *Child and family law quarterly*. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2013;25.

73.

Hunter RC, McGlynn C, Rackley E. *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice* [Internet]. Oxford: Hart; 2010. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

74.

Bridgeman J, Keating HM, Lind C, editors. *Regulating family responsibilities*. London: Taylor & Francis Ltd; 2016.

75.

Rhoades H. Legislating to promote children's welfare and the quest for certainty. *Child and family law quarterly*. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2012;24(2).

76.

Munby J. 'the14th View from the President's Chambers: care cases: settlement conferences and the tandem model'. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;46(9).

77.

Sloan B. LOVING BUT POTENTIALLY HARMFUL PARENTS IN THE SUPREME COURT. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2014 Mar;73(01):28–31.

78.

Holt K, Kelly N. At a crossroads: to issue or not to issue care proceedings. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;Dec:1450–1455.

79.

Slater L. 'It's voluntary! Accommodation under s.20 of the Children Act 1989. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;Sept:1141–1146.

80.

Delahunty J, Barnes C. Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 1: An introduction. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;Feb.

81.

Delahunty J, Barnes C. Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 2: Practicalities and pitfalls'. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;March.

82.

Delahunty J, Barnes C. 'Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 3: Threshold'. *Family law*. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;June.

83.

Fox Harding LM. The Children Act 1989 in context: Four perspectives in Child Care Law and Policy (II). *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 1991 Jul;13(4):285–302.

84.

Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. Vulnerabilities, care and family law [Internet]. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; 2014. Available from:  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

85.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times [Internet]. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2013. Available from:  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

86.

Wellbourne P. Safeguarding Children on the Edge of Care: Policy for Keeping Children Safe after the Review of the Child Care Proceedings System, Care Matters and the Carter Review of Legal Aid. Child and family law quarterly. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2008;20.

87.

Proudman C, Trevena F. 'Setting parents up to fail: punishing hopeless parents is integral to care proceedings. Family law. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2012;Aug.

88.

Doughty J. Myths and Misunderstanding in Adoption Law and Policy. Child and family law quarterly. Bristol: Jordan Publishing Ltd; 2015;27.

89.

Phillimore S. Contesting the making of an adoption order: Re W (A child). Family law. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;Sept:1068–1072.

90.

Bainham A. THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2009 Jul;68(02).

91.

McFarlane A. Nothing Else Will Do. Family law. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;Dec:1403-1412.

92.

Harwin J. Considering the case for parity in policy and practice between adoption and special guardianship: findings from a population wide study. Family law. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;Feb.

93.

Phillimore S. Making an Adoption Order: What is the Test? Family law. Chichester: [publisher not identified]; 2016;46:1021-1024.

94.

Bainham A. PERMANENCE FOR CHILDREN: SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP OR ADOPTION? The Cambridge Law Journal. 2007 Nov;66(03).

95.

Bainham A. THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2009 Jul;68(02).

96.

Holt K, Kelly N. When adoption without parental consent breaches human rights: implications of [2013] EWCA Civ 963 on decision making and permanency planning for children. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2015 Apr 3;37(2):228-240.

97.

Sloan B. POST-ADOPTION CONTACT REFORM: COMPOUNDING THE STATE-ORDERED TERMINATION OF PARENTHOOD? The Cambridge Law Journal. 2014 Jul;73(02):378-404.

98.

Marshall J. CONCEALED BIRTHS, ADOPTION AND HUMAN RIGHTS LAW: BEING WARY OF SEEKING TO OPEN WINDOWS INTO PEOPLE'S SOULS. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2012 Jul;71(02):325-354.