

The family and the Law

[View Online](#)

[1]

Doyle, Roddy, *The woman who walked into doors*. London: Jonathan Cape, 1996.

[2]

S. Harris-Short, J. Miles, and R. H. George, *Family law: text, cases, and materials*, Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015.

[3]

J. A. Wallbank and J. Herring, Eds., *Vulnerabilities, care and family law*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge, 2014 [Online]. Available:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

[4]

J. Eekelaar and M. Maclean, *Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2013 [Online]. Available:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

[5]

Professor Alison Diduck, 'Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible?', vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 581–756, 2014 [Online]. Available:
http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk.chain.kent.ac.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12

[6]

J. Eekelaar, "'Not of the Highest Importance': Family justice under threat", *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 311–317, Dec. 2011, doi: 10.1080/09649069.2011.632883.

[7]

A. Diduck, 'What is Family Law For?', *Current Legal Problems*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 287–314, Jan. 2011, doi: 10.1093/clp/cur010.

[8]

J. A. Wallbank and J. Herring, Eds., *Vulnerabilities, care and family law*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge, 2014 [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

[9]

J. Dewar, 'The Normal Chaos of Family Law', *Modern Law Review*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 467–485, Jul. 1998, doi: 10.1111/1468-2230.00157.

[10]

Diduck, Alison, 'Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible' [Online]. Available: <http://library.kent.ac.uk/cgi-bin/resources.cgi?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/1556285990/>

[11]

Onati International Institute for the Sociology of Law, *Delivering family justice in the 21st century*, vol. Onati international series in law and society. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2015 [Online]. Available: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259701>

[12]

R. Hunter, 'Doing violence to family law', *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 343–359, Dec. 2011, doi: 10.1080/09649069.2011.632888.

[13]

N. Barker, 'Civil Partnerships: An Alternative to Marriage? An Analysis of the Application in *Ferguson and Others v United Kingdom*', *Family law*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 548–551, 2012.

[14]

R. Gaffney-Rhys, 'Same-Sex Marriage But Not Mixed-Sex Partnerships: Should the Civil Partnership Act 2004 be extended to opposite-sex couples?', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 173–195, 2014.

[15]

R. C. Hunter, C. McGlynn, and E. Rackley, *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice*. Oxford: Hart, 2010 [Online]. Available:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

[16]

R. Probert, 'When are we married? Void, non-existent and presumed marriages', *Legal Studies*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 398–419, Sep. 2002, doi: 10.1111/j.1748-121X.2002.tb00199.x.

[17]

R. Probert, 'The Evolving Concept of Non-Marriage', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 314–335, 2013.

[18]

N. Barker and D. Monk, Eds., *From civil partnerships to same-sex marriage: interdisciplinary reflections*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge, 2015.

[19]

R. Auchmuty, *From civil partnerships to same-sex marriage: interdisciplinary reflections*. Oxfordshire, [England]: Routledge, 2015 [Online]. Available: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=2028271>

[20]

'Law Commission. *Getting Married: A Scoping Paper*'. [Online]. Available: http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/Getting_Married_scoping_paper.pdf

[21]

E. Fowler, 'A Queer Critique on the Polygamy Debate in Canada: Law, Culture, and Diversity', *Dalhousie journal of legal studies*, vol. 21, pp. 93-125, 2012 [Online]. Available: [http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&sTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&sTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866)

[22]

'Case in Point: Is Consummation a Legal Oddity?' [Online]. Available: <https://www.solicitorsjournal.com/blog/case-point-consummation-legal-oddity>

[23]

M. M. Idriss, 'Forced Marriages - the Need for Criminalisation?', *Criminal law review*, vol. 9, pp. 687-703, 2015.

[24]

H. Camplin and E. Scott, '"We Are a Group of Feminist Lawyers Doing What We Can": An Interview with Emma Scott, Director of Rights of Women', *Feminist Legal Studies*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 319-328, Nov. 2015, doi: 10.1007/s10691-015-9299-z.

[25]

R. Treloar, 'High Conflict Post-Separation Disputes Involving Family Violence in a Neoliberal Context: British Columbia, Canada', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 111-132, 2016.

[26]

M. Burton, 'Emergency Barring Orders in Domestic Violence Cases: What can England and Wales learn from other European countries?', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 25-42, 2015.

[27]

M. McCarthy and S. Hunt, '"I Know it was Every Week, but I Can't be Sure if it was Every Day: Domestic Violence and Women with Learning Disabilities', *Journal of applied research in intellectual disabilities*, vol. 29, 2015.

[28]

R. Gaffney-Rhys, 'The Criminalisation of Forced Marriage in England and Wales: One Year On', *Family law*, vol. 45, no. 11, pp. 1378-1384, 2015.

[29]

A. K. Gill and S. Anitha, *Forced marriage: introducing a social justice and human rights perspective*. London: Zed, 2011 [Online]. Available:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781848134645>

[30]

M. Enright, 'Choice, Culture and the Politics of Belonging: The Emerging Law of Forced and Arranged Marriage', *Modern Law Review*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 331-359, May 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00747.x.

[31]

A. Gill and S. Anitha, 'The illusion of protection? An analysis of forced marriage legislation and policy in the UK', *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 257-269,

Sep. 2009, doi: 10.1080/09649060903354589.

[32]

S. H. Razack, 'Imperilled Muslim Women, Dangerous Muslim Men and Civilised Europeans: Legal and Social Responses to Forced Marriages', *Feminist Legal Studies*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 129–174, 2004, doi: 10.1023/B:FEST.0000043305.66172.92.

[33]

A. Rosier, 'No-Fault Divorce: Where Next?', *Family law*, vol. 45, no. 12, 2015.

[34]

J. Herring, R. Probert, and S. Gilmore, *Great debates in family law*, Second edition., vol. Palgrave great debates in law. London: Palgrave, 2015.

[35]

E. Nash and A. Parker, 'No Fault Divorce: The Australian Experience', *Family law*, vol. 46, no. 3, 2016.

[36]

R. Deech, 'What's a Woman Worth?', *Family law*, pp. 1140–1145, 2009.

[37]

'What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future'. [Online]. Available: https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1

[38]

S. Thompson, 'Levelling the Prenuptial Playing Field: Is Independent Legal Advice the Answer?', *International Family Law*, vol. 4, pp. 327–331, 2011 [Online]. Available: http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail

sTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclDs=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&reclDxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485872916343

[39]

S. Altman, 'A Theory of Child Support', *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 173–210, Aug. 2003, doi: 10.1093/lawfam/17.2.173.

[40]

S. Altman, 'A Theory of Child Support', *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 173–210, Aug. 2003, doi: 10.1093/lawfam/17.2.173.

[41]

'What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future'. [Online]. Available: https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1

[42]

'Bill 2014-15, second reading and committee stage debates': [Online]. Available: <http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2014-15/divorcefinancialprovisionbill/stages.html>

[43]

'Ruth Deech: A Clean Break | Big Issue'. [Online]. Available: <http://www.bigissue.com/features/4369/ruth-deech-a-clean-break>

[44]

R. Deech, 'What's a Woman Worth?', *Family law*, pp. 1140–1145, 2009.

[45]

J. Herring, P. Harris, and R. George, 'Ante-Nuptial Agreements: Fairness, Equality and Presumptions', *Law quarterly review*, vol. 127, 2011.

[46]

J. Miles, P. Mody, and R. Probert, Eds., *Marriage rites and rights*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2015 [Online]. Available:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259657>

[47]

B. Hale, 'Equality and autonomy in family law', *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 3–14, Mar. 2011, doi: 10.1080/09649069.2011.571466.

[48]

M. MACLEAN and J. EEKELAAR, 'CHILD SUPPORT: THE BRITISH SOLUTION', 'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family', vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 205–229, 1993, doi: 10.1093/lawfam/7.2.205.

[49]

C. Skinner, 'Child Maintenance Reforms: Understanding Fathers' Expressive Agency and the Power of Reciprocity', *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 242–265, Aug. 2013, doi: 10.1093/lawfam/ebt005.

[50]

I. M. Ellman, S. Mckay, J. Miles, and C. Bryson, 'Child Support Judgments: Comparing Public Policy to the Public's Policy', *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 274–301, Dec. 2014, doi: 10.1093/lawfam/ebu011.

[51]

T. Nutt, "'A Fresh Start for Child Maintenance'? Examining Recent Child Support Policy in

Long-Term Historical Perspective', *Journal of social security law*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 118–130, 2007 [Online]. Available:

[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&indx=1&reclids=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&reclidx=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=Journal%20of%20Social%20Security%20Law&dum=true&dsmtp=1485873499493](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&indx=1&reclids=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&reclidx=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=Journal%20of%20Social%20Security%20Law&dum=true&dsmtp=1485873499493)

[52]

M. Welstead, "'The Surrogacy Market'", *Family law*, 2016.

[53]

M. Elsworth and N. Gamble, 'Are Contracts and Pre-Birth Orders the Way Forward for UK Surrogacy?', *International Family Law*, vol. 2, 2015 [Online]. Available:

[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclids=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&reclidx=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dsmtp=1485873723028](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclids=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&reclidx=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dsmtp=1485873723028)

[54]

L. Yeatman, "'Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers'", *Family law*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 1581–1587, 2013.

[55]

C. Wood, 'The Pitfalls of Assisted Reproduction: When is a parent a legal parent?', *Family law*, vol. October, pp. 1242–1246, 2016.

[56]

C. Fenton-Glynn, 'The Regulation and Recognition of Surrogacy under English Law: An Overview of the Case-Law', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 83, 2015.

[57]

'Surrogacy in the UK: Myth Busting and Reform: Report of the Surrogacy UK Working Group on Surrogacy Law Reform'. [Online]. Available: <https://www.kent.ac.uk/law/research/projects/current/surrogacy/Surrogacy%20in%20the%20UK%20Report%20FINAL.pdf>

[58]

Julie Wallbank, 'Channelling the messiness of diverse family lives: resisting the calls to order and de-centring the hetero-normative family', *Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 353-368 [Online]. Available: <http://www.tandfonline.com/chain.kent.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/09649069.2010.539355>

[59]

R. Probert, S. Gilmore, and J. Herring, *Responsible parents and parental responsibility*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2009.

[60]

J. Herring and C. Foster, 'Welfare means relationality, virtue and altruism', *Legal Studies*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 480-498, Sep. 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1748-121X.2012.00232.x.

[61]

S. Gilmore, 'Withdrawal of Parental Responsibility: Lost Authority and a Lost Opportunity', *The Modern Law Review*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 1042-1056, Nov. 2015, doi: 10.1111/1468-2230.12160.

[62]

R. Probert, S. Gilmore, and J. Herring, *Responsible parents and parental responsibility*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2009.

[63]

H. Reece, 'The Paramountcy Principle: Consensus or Construct?', *Current Legal Problems*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 267–304, Jan. 1996, doi: 10.1093/clp/49.1.267.

[64]

R. Hunter, 'Close Encounters of a Judicial Kind: "Hearing" Children's "Voices" in Family Law Proceedings', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 19, 2007.

[65]

J. Herring, 'The welfare principle and the children act: presumably it's about welfare?', *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 14–25, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1080/09649069.2014.887258.

[66]

H. Reece, 'Parental Responsibility as Therapy', *Family law*, 2009.

[67]

A. Barnett, '"Contact at all costs? Domestic violence and children's welfare"', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 26, no. 4, 2014.

[68]

L. Yeatman, '"Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers"', *Family law*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 1581–1587, 2013.

[69]

B. Hale, 'New families and the welfare of children', *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 26–35, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1080/09649069.2014.887259.

[70]

'The rise and fall of presumptions surrounding the welfare principle'. [Online]. Available: http://www.jordanpublishing.co.uk/practice-areas/family/news_and_comment/Herring-MayF LJ2013-553#.WJCqP1OLS70

[71]

P. Bremner, 'Lesbian parents and biological fathers – leave to apply for contact', *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 79–81, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1080/09649069.2014.886880.

[72]

F. Kaganas, 'A Presumption That Involvement of Both Parents Is Best: Deciphering Law's Messages', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 25, 2013.

[73]

R. C. Hunter, C. McGlynn, and E. Rackley, *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice*. Oxford: Hart, 2010 [Online]. Available: <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

[74]

J. Bridgeman, H. M. Keating, and C. Lind, Eds., *Regulating family responsibilities*. London: Taylor & Francis Ltd, 2016.

[75]

H. Rhoades, 'Legislating to promote children's welfare and the quest for certainty', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 24, no. 2, 2012.

[76]

J. Munby, 'the 14th View from the President's Chambers: care cases: settlement conferences and the tandem model', *Family law*, vol. 46, no. 9, 2016.

[77]

B. Sloan, 'LOVING BUT POTENTIALLY HARMFUL PARENTS IN THE SUPREME COURT', The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 73, no. 01, pp. 28–31, Mar. 2014, doi: 10.1017/S0008197314000142.

[78]

K. Holt and N. Kelly, 'At a crossroads: to issue or not to issue care proceedings', Family law, vol. Dec, pp. 1450–1455, 2016.

[79]

L. Slater, 'It's voluntary! Accommodation under s.20 of the Children Act 1989', Family law, vol. Sept, pp. 1141–1146, 2016.

[80]

J. Delahunty and C. Barnes, 'Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 1: An introduction', Family law, vol. Feb, 2016.

[81]

J. Delahunty and C. Barnes, 'Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 2: Practicalities and pitfalls', Family law, vol. March, 2016.

[82]

J. Delahunty and C. Barnes, 'Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 3: Threshold', Family law, vol. June, 2016.

[83]

L. M. Fox Harding, 'The Children Act 1989 in context: Four perspectives in Child Care Law and Policy (II)', Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 285–302, Jul. 1991, doi: 10.1080/09649069108416148.

[84]

J. A. Wallbank and J. Herring, Eds., Vulnerabilities, care and family law. Abingdon, Oxon:

Routledge, 2014 [Online]. Available:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

[85]

J. Eekelaar and M. Maclean, *Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2013 [Online]. Available:
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

[86]

P. Wellbourne, 'Safeguarding Children on the Edge of Care: Policy for Keeping Children Safe after the Review of the Child Care Proceedings System, Care Matters and the Carter Review of Legal Aid', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 20, 2008.

[87]

C. Proudman and F. Trevena, 'Setting parents up to fail: punishing hopeless parents is integral to care proceedings', *Family law*, vol. Aug, 2012.

[88]

J. Doughty, 'Myths and Misunderstanding in Adoption Law and Policy', *Child and family law quarterly*, vol. 27, 2015.

[89]

S. Phillimore, 'Contesting the making of an adoption order: *Re W (A child)*', *Family law*, vol. Sept, pp. 1068–1072, 2016.

[90]

A. Bainham, 'THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION', *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 68, no. 02, Jul. 2009, doi: 10.1017/S0008197309000646.

[91]

A. McFarlane, 'Nothing Else Will Do', Family law, vol. Dec, pp. 1403–1412, 2016.

[92]

J. Harwin, 'Considering the case for parity in policy and practice between adoption and special guardianship: findings from a population wide study', Family law, vol. Feb, 2016.

[93]

S. Phillimore, 'Making an Adoption Order: What is the Test?', Family law, vol. 46, pp. 1021–1024, 2016.

[94]

A. Bainham, 'PERMANENCE FOR CHILDREN: SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP OR ADOPTION?', The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 66, no. 03, Nov. 2007, doi: 10.1017/S0008197307000906.

[95]

A. Bainham, 'THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION', The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 68, no. 02, Jul. 2009, doi: 10.1017/S0008197309000646.

[96]

K. Holt and N. Kelly, 'When adoption without parental consent breaches human rights: implications of [2013] EWCA Civ 963 on decision making and permanency planning for children', Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 228–240, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.1080/09649069.2015.1028156.

[97]

B. Sloan, 'POST-ADOPTION CONTACT REFORM: COMPOUNDING THE STATE-ORDERED TERMINATION OF PARENTHOOD?', The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 73, no. 02, pp. 378–404, Jul. 2014, doi: 10.1017/S0008197314000439.

[98]

J. Marshall, 'CONCEALED BIRTHS, ADOPTION AND HUMAN RIGHTS LAW: BEING WARY OF

SEEKING TO OPEN WINDOWS INTO PEOPLE'S SOULS', *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 71, no. 02, pp. 325–354, Jul. 2012, doi: 10.1017/S0008197312000517.