

The family and the Law

[View Online](#)

1

Doyle, Roddy. The woman who walked into doors. London: : Jonathan Cape 1996.

2

Harris-Short S, Miles J, George RH. Family law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

3

Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. Vulnerabilities, care and family law. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2014.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

4

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

5

Professor Alison Diduck. Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible? 2014;**44**

:581-756.http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk.chain.kent.ac.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12

6

Eekelaar J. "Not of the Highest Importance": Family justice under threat. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2011;**33**:311–7. doi:10.1080/09649069.2011.632883

7

Diduck A. What is Family Law For? *Current Legal Problems* 2011;**64**:287–314. doi:10.1093/clp/cur010

8

Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. *Vulnerabilities, care and family law*. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

9

Dewar J. The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review* 1998;**61**:467–85. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00157

10

Diduck, Alison. Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible. <http://library.kent.ac.uk/cgi-bin/resources.cgi?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/1556285990/>

11

Onati International Institute for the Sociology of Law. *Delivering family justice in the 21st century*. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2015.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259701>

12

Hunter R. Doing violence to family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2011;**33**:343–59. doi:10.1080/09649069.2011.632888

13

Barker N. Civil Partnerships: An Alternative to Marriage? An Analysis of the Application in *Ferguson and Others v United Kingdom*. *Family law* 2012;**42**:548–51.

14

Gaffney-Rhys R. Same-Sex Marriage But Not Mixed-Sex Partnerships: Should the Civil Partnership Act 2004 be extended to opposite-sex couples? *Child and family law quarterly* 2014;**26**:173–95.

15

Hunter RC, McGlynn C, Rackley E. *Feminist judgments: from theory to practice*. Oxford: : Hart 2010.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

16

Probert R. When are we married? Void, non-existent and presumed marriages. *Legal Studies* 2002;**22**:398–419. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2002.tb00199.x

17

Probert R. The Evolving Concept of Non-Marriage. *Child and family law quarterly* 2013;**25**:314–35.

18

Barker N, Monk D, editors. *From civil partnerships to same-sex marriage: interdisciplinary reflections*. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2015.

19

Auchmuty R. *From civil partnerships to same-sex marriage: interdisciplinary reflections*. Oxfordshire, [England]: : Routledge 2015.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=2028271>

20

Law Commission. Getting Married: A Scoping Paper.

http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/Getting_Married_scoping_paper.pdf

21

Fowler E. A Queer Critique on the Polygamy Debate in Canada: Law, Culture, and Diversity. *Dalhousie journal of legal studies* 2012;**21**

:93–125. [http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866)

22

Case in Point: Is Consummation a Legal Oddity?

<https://www.solicitorsjournal.com/blog/case-point-consummation-legal-oddity>

23

Idriss MM. Forced Marriages - the Need for Criminalisation? *Criminal law review* 2015;**9**:687–703.

24

Camplin H, Scott E. "We Are a Group of Feminist Lawyers Doing What We Can": An Interview with Emma Scott, Director of Rights of Women. *Feminist Legal Studies* 2015;**23**:319–28. doi:10.1007/s10691-015-9299-z

25

Treloar R. High Conflict Post-Separation Disputes Involving Family Violence in a Neoliberal

Context: British Columbia, Canada. Child and family law quarterly 2016;**28**:111–32.

26

Burton M. Emergency Barring Orders in Domestic Violence Cases: What can England and Wales learn from other European countries? Child and family law quarterly 2015;**27**:25–42.

27

McCarthy M, Hunt S. 'I Know it was Every Week, but I Can't be Sure if it was Every Day: Domestic Violence and Women with Learning Disabilities. Journal of applied research in intellectual disabilities 2015;**29**.

28

Gaffney-Rhys R. The Criminalisation of Forced Marriage in England and Wales: One Year On. Family law 2015;**45**:1378–84.

29

Gill AK, Anitha S. Forced marriage: introducing a social justice and human rights perspective. London: : Zed 2011.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781848134645>

30

Enright M. Choice, Culture and the Politics of Belonging: The Emerging Law of Forced and Arranged Marriage. Modern Law Review 2009;**72**:331–59.
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00747.x

31

Gill A, Anitha S. The illusion of protection? An analysis of forced marriage legislation and policy in the UK. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law 2009;**31**:257–69.
doi:10.1080/09649060903354589

32

Razack SH. Imperilled Muslim Women, Dangerous Muslim Men and Civilised Europeans: Legal and Social Responses to Forced Marriages. *Feminist Legal Studies* 2004;**12**:129–74. doi:10.1023/B:FEST.0000043305.66172.92

33

Rosier A. No-Fault Divorce: Where Next? *Family law* 2015;**45**.

34

Herring J, Probert R, Gilmore S. *Great debates in family law*. Second edition. London: : Palgrave 2015.

35

Nash E, Parker A. No Fault Divorce: The Australian Experience. *Family law* 2016;**46**.

36

Deech R. 'What's a Woman Worth? *Family law* 2009;:1140–5.

37

What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future.
https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1

38

Thompson S. Levelling the Prenuptial Playing Field: Is Independent Legal Advice the Answer? *International Family Law* 2011;**4**
 :327–31.http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tab=s=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclids=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&reclidx=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW

%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485872916343

39

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2003;**17**:173-210. doi:10.1093/lawfam/17.2.173

40

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2003;**17**:173-210. doi:10.1093/lawfam/17.2.173

41

What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future.
https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1

42

Bill 2014-15, second reading and committee stage debates:
<http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2014-15/divorcefinancialprovisionbill/stages.html>

43

Ruth Deech: A Clean Break | Big Issue.
<http://www.bigissue.com/features/4369/ruth-deech-a-clean-break>

44

Deech R. 'What's a Woman Worth? *Family law* 2009;:1140-5.

45

Herring J, Harris P, George R. Ante-Nuptial Agreements: Fairness, Equality and Presumptions. *Law quarterly review* 2011;**127**.

46

Miles J, Mody P, Probert R, editors. Marriage rites and rights. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2015.

<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259657>

47

Hale B. Equality and autonomy in family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2011;**33**:3–14. doi:10.1080/09649069.2011.571466

48

MACLEAN M, EEKELAAR J. CHILD SUPPORT: THE BRITISH SOLUTION. 'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family' 1993;**7**:205–29. doi:10.1093/lawfam/7.2.205

49

Skinner C. Child Maintenance Reforms: Understanding Fathers' Expressive Agency and the Power of Reciprocity. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2013;**27**:242–65. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebt005

50

Ellman IM, McKay S, Miles J, et al. Child Support Judgments: Comparing Public Policy to the Public's Policy. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family* 2014;**28**:274–301. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebu011

51

Nutt T. "A Fresh Start for Child Maintenance"? Examining Recent Child Support Policy in Long-Term Historical Perspective. *Journal of social security law* 2007;**14**:118–30.
http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tab=s=detailsTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&indx=1&reclids=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&reclidxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&scnt=0&scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW

%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=Journal%20of%20Social%20Security%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873499493

52

Welstead M. 'The Surrogacy Market'. Family law 2016.

53

Elsworth M, Gamble N. Are Contracts and Pre-Birth Orders the Way Forward for UK Surrogacy? International Family Law 2015;**2**
[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&reclxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873723028](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&reclxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873723028)

54

Yeatman L. 'Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers'. Family law 2013;**43**:1581-7.

55

Wood C. The Pitfalls of Assisted Reproduction: When is a parent a legal parent? Family law 2016;**October**:1242-6.

56

Fenton-Glynn C. 'The Regulation and Recognition of Surrogacy under English Law: An Overview of the Case-Law. Child and family law quarterly 2015;**83**.

57

Surrogacy in the UK: Myth Busting and Reform: Report of the Surrogacy UK Working Group

on Surrogacy Law Reform.

<https://www.kent.ac.uk/law/research/projects/current/surrogacy/Surrogacy%20in%20the%20UK%20Report%20FINAL.pdf>

58

Julie Wallbank. Channelling the messiness of diverse family lives: resisting the calls to order and de-centring the hetero-normative family. *Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law*; **32**:353–68. <http://www.tandfonline.com/chain.kent.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/09649069.2010.539355>

59

Probert R, Gilmore S, Herring J. *Responsible parents and parental responsibility*. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2009.

60

Herring J, Foster C. Welfare means relationality, virtue and altruism. *Legal Studies* 2012;**32**:480–98. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2012.00232.x

61

Gilmore S. Withdrawal of Parental Responsibility: Lost Authority and a Lost Opportunity. *The Modern Law Review* 2015;**78**:1042–56. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.12160

62

Probert R, Gilmore S, Herring J. *Responsible parents and parental responsibility*. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2009.

63

Reece H. The Paramountcy Principle: Consensus or Construct? *Current Legal Problems* 1996;**49**:267–304. doi:10.1093/clp/49.1.267

64

Hunter R. Close Encounters of a Judicial Kind: "Hearing" Children's "Voices" in Family Law Proceedings. *Child and family law quarterly* 2007;**19**.

65

Herring J. The welfare principle and the children act: presumably it's about welfare? *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2014;**36**:14–25. doi:10.1080/09649069.2014.887258

66

Reece H. 'Parental Responsibility as Therapy. *Family law* 2009.

67

Barnett A. 'Contact at all costs? Domestic violence and children's welfare'. *Child and family law quarterly* 2014;**26**.

68

Yeatman L. 'Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers'. *Family law* 2013;**43**:1581–7.

69

Hale B. New families and the welfare of children. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2014;**36**:26–35. doi:10.1080/09649069.2014.887259

70

'The rise and fall of presumptions surrounding the welfare principle.
http://www.jordanpublishing.co.uk/practice-areas/family/news_and_comment/Herring-MayF LJ2013-553#.WJCqP1OLS70

71

Bremner P. Lesbian parents and biological fathers – leave to apply for contact. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law* 2014;**36**:79–81. doi:10.1080/09649069.2014.886880

72

Kaganas F. 'A Presumption That Involvement of Both Parents Is Best: Deciphering Law's Messages. Child and family law quarterly 2013;**25**.

73

Hunter RC, McGlynn C, Rackley E. Feminist judgments: from theory to practice. Oxford: : Hart 2010.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

74

Bridgeman J, Keating HM, Lind C, editors. Regulating family responsibilities. London: : Taylor & Francis Ltd 2016.

75

Rhoades H. Legislating to promote children's welfare and the quest for certainty. Child and family law quarterly 2012;**24**.

76

Munby J. 'the14th View from the President's Chambers: care cases: settlement conferences and the tandem model'. Family law 2016;**46**.

77

Sloan B. LOVING BUT POTENTIALLY HARMFUL PARENTS IN THE SUPREME COURT. The Cambridge Law Journal 2014;**73**:28–31. doi:10.1017/S0008197314000142

78

Holt K, Kelly N. At a crossroads: to issue or not to issue care proceedings. Family law 2016;
Dec:1450–5.

79

Slater L. 'It's voluntary! Accommodation under s.20 of the Children Act 1989. Family law 2016;**Sept**:1141-6.

80

Delahunty J, Barnes C. Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 1: An introduction. Family law 2016;**Feb**.

81

Delahunty J, Barnes C. Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 2: Practicalities and pitfalls'. Family law 2016;**March**.

82

Delahunty J, Barnes C. 'Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 3: Threshold'. Family law 2016;**June**.

83

Fox Harding LM. The Children Act 1989 in context: Four perspectives in Child Care Law and Policy (II). Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law 1991;**13**:285-302.
doi:10.1080/09649069108416148

84

Wallbank JA, Herring J, editors. Vulnerabilities, care and family law. Abingdon, Oxon: : Routledge 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

85

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family justice: the work of family judges in uncertain times. Oxford: : Hart Publishing 2013.
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

86

Wellbourne P. Safeguarding Children on the Edge of Care: Policy for Keeping Children Safe after the Review of the Child Care Proceedings System, Care Matters and the Carter Review of Legal Aid. Child and family law quarterly 2008;**20**.

87

Proudman C, Trevena F. 'Setting parents up to fail: punishing hopeless parents is integral to care proceedings. Family law 2012;**Aug**.

88

Doughty J. Myths and Misunderstanding in Adoption Law and Policy. Child and family law quarterly 2015;**27**.

89

Phillimore S. Contesting the making of an adoption order: Re W (A child). Family law 2016;**Sept**:1068–72.

90

Bainham A. THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION. The Cambridge Law Journal 2009;**68**. doi:10.1017/S0008197309000646

91

McFarlane A. Nothing Else Will Do. Family law 2016;**Dec**:1403–12.

92

Harwin J. Considering the case for parity in policy and practice between adoption and special guardianship: findings from a population wide study. Family law 2016;**Feb**.

93

Phillimore S. Making an Adoption Order: What is the Test? Family law 2016;**46**:1021–4.

94

Bainham A. PERMANENCE FOR CHILDREN: SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP OR ADOPTION? The Cambridge Law Journal 2007;**66**. doi:10.1017/S0008197307000906

95

Bainham A. THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION. The Cambridge Law Journal 2009;**68**. doi:10.1017/S0008197309000646

96

Holt K, Kelly N. When adoption without parental consent breaches human rights: implications of [2013] EWCA Civ 963 on decision making and permanency planning for children. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law 2015;**37**:228-40. doi:10.1080/09649069.2015.1028156

97

Sloan B. POST-ADOPTION CONTACT REFORM: COMPOUNDING THE STATE-ORDERED TERMINATION OF PARENTHOOD? The Cambridge Law Journal 2014;**73**:378-404. doi:10.1017/S0008197314000439

98

Marshall J. CONCEALED BIRTHS, ADOPTION AND HUMAN RIGHTS LAW: BEING WARY OF SEEKING TO OPEN WINDOWS INTO PEOPLE'S SOULS. The Cambridge Law Journal 2012;**71**:325-54. doi:10.1017/S0008197312000517