

# The family and the Law

[View Online](#)

---

1.

Doyle, Roddy. *The Woman Who Walked into Doors*. Jonathan Cape; 1996.

2.

Harris-Short S, Miles J, George RH. *Family Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*. Third edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

3.

Wallbank JA, Herring J, eds. *Vulnerabilities, Care and Family Law*. Routledge; 2014.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

4.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. *Family Justice: The Work of Family Judges in Uncertain Times*. Hart Publishing; 2013.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

5.

Professor Alison Diduck. Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible? 2014;44(5):581-756.  
[http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/chain.kent.ac.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_2014\\_05\\_12.dita.xml&docid=Family\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_2014\\_05\\_12&inner\\_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily\\_FLJONLINE\\_FLJ\\_2014\\_05\\_12](http://onlineservices.jordanpublishing.co.uk/chain.kent.ac.uk/web/pub.xql?c=t&action=home&pub=FAMILYpa&lang=en#addHistory=true&filename=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12.dita.xml&docid=Family_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12&inner_id=&tid=&query=&scope=&resource=&toc=false&eventType=lcContent.loadDocFamily_FLJONLINE_FLJ_2014_05_12)

6.

Eekelaar J. "Not of the Highest Importance": Family justice under threat. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011;33(4):311-317. doi:10.1080/09649069.2011.632883

7.

Diduck A. What is Family Law For? *Current Legal Problems*. 2011;64(1):287-314. doi:10.1093/clp/cur010

8.

Wallbank JA, Herring J, eds. *Vulnerabilities, Care and Family Law*. Routledge; 2014. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

9.

Dewar J. The Normal Chaos of Family Law. *Modern Law Review*. 1998;61(4):467-485. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.00157

10.

Diduck, Alison. Justice by ADR in private family matters: is it fair and is it possible. <http://library.kent.ac.uk/cgi-bin/resources.cgi?url=http://search.proquest.com/docview/1556285990/>

11.

Onati International Institute for the Sociology of Law. *Delivering Family Justice in the 21st Century*. Vol Onati international series in law and society. (Maclean M, Eekelaar J, Bastard B, eds.). Hart Publishing; 2015. <http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259701>

12.

Hunter R. Doing violence to family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011;33(4):343-359. doi:10.1080/09649069.2011.632888

13.

Barker N. Civil Partnerships: An Alternative to Marriage? An Analysis of the Application in *Ferguson and Others v United Kingdom*. *Family law*. 2012;42(5):548-551.

14.

Gaffney-Rhys R. Same-Sex Marriage But Not Mixed-Sex Partnerships: Should the Civil Partnership Act 2004 be extended to opposite-sex couples? *Child and family law quarterly*. 2014;26(2):173-195.

15.

Hunter RC, McGlynn C, Rackley E. *Feminist Judgments: From Theory to Practice*. Hart; 2010.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

16.

Probert R. When are we married? Void, non-existent and presumed marriages. *Legal Studies*. 2002;22(3):398-419. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2002.tb00199.x

17.

Probert R. The Evolving Concept of Non-Marriage. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2013;25(3):314-335.

18.

Barker N, Monk D, eds. *From Civil Partnerships to Same-Sex Marriage: Interdisciplinary Reflections*. Routledge; 2015.

19.

Auchmuty R. *From Civil Partnerships to Same-Sex Marriage: Interdisciplinary Reflections*. (Barker N, Monk D, eds.). Routledge; 2015.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=2028271>

20.

Law Commission. Getting Married: A Scoping Paper.

[http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/Getting\\_Married\\_scoping\\_paper.pdf](http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/Getting_Married_scoping_paper.pdf)

21.

Fowler E. A Queer Critique on the Polygamy Debate in Canada: Law, Culture, and Diversity. *Dalhousie journal of legal studies*. 2012;21:93-125.

[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110985822460002&reclxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default\\_tab&vl\(freeText0\)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS110985822460002&reclxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&tab=default_tab&vl(freeText0)=Dalhousie%20Journal%20of%20Legal%20Studies&dum=true&dstmp=1485871092866)

22.

Case in Point: Is Consummation a Legal Oddity?

<https://www.solicitorsjournal.com/blog/case-point-consummation-legal-oddity>

23.

Idriss MM. Forced Marriages - the Need for Criminalisation? *Criminal law review*. 2015;9:687-703.

24.

Camplin H, Scott E. "We Are a Group of Feminist Lawyers Doing What We Can": An Interview with Emma Scott, Director of Rights of Women. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 2015;23(3):319-328. doi:10.1007/s10691-015-9299-z

25.

Treloar R. High Conflict Post-Separation Disputes Involving Family Violence in a Neoliberal

Context: British Columbia, Canada. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2016;28(2):111-132.

26.

Burton M. Emergency Barring Orders in Domestic Violence Cases: What can England and Wales learn from other European countries? *Child and family law quarterly*. 2015;27(1):25-42.

27.

McCarthy M, Hunt S. 'I Know it was Every Week, but I Can't be Sure if it was Every Day: Domestic Violence and Women with Learning Disabilities. *Journal of applied research in intellectual disabilities*. 2015;29.

28.

Gaffney-Rhys R. The Criminalisation of Forced Marriage in England and Wales: One Year On. *Family law*. 2015;45(11):1378-1384.

29.

Gill AK, Anitha S. *Forced Marriage: Introducing a Social Justice and Human Rights Perspective*. Zed; 2011.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781848134645>

30.

Enright M. Choice, Culture and the Politics of Belonging: The Emerging Law of Forced and Arranged Marriage. *Modern Law Review*. 2009;72(3):331-359.  
doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2009.00747.x

31.

Gill A, Anitha S. The illusion of protection? An analysis of forced marriage legislation and policy in the UK. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2009;31(3):257-269.  
doi:10.1080/09649060903354589

32.

Razack SH. Imperilled Muslim Women, Dangerous Muslim Men and Civilised Europeans: Legal and Social Responses to Forced Marriages. *Feminist Legal Studies*. 2004;12(2):129-174. doi:10.1023/B:FEST.0000043305.66172.92

33.

Rosier A. No-Fault Divorce: Where Next? *Family law*. 2015;45(12).

34.

Herring J, Probert R, Gilmore S. *Great Debates in Family Law*. Vol Palgrave great debates in law. Second edition. Palgrave; 2015.

35.

Nash E, Parker A. No Fault Divorce: The Australian Experience. *Family law*. 2016;46(3).

36.

Deech R. 'What's a Woman Worth? *Family law*. Published online 2009:1140-1145.

37.

What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future.  
[https://www.iafl.com/cms\\_media/files/marital\\_property\\_agreements\\_past\\_present\\_and\\_future\\_baroness\\_hale.pdf?static=1](https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1)

38.

Thompson S. Levelling the Prenuptial Playing Field: Is Independent Legal Advice the Answer? *International Family Law*. 2011;4:327-331.  
[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab=&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclds=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab=&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29)

%2Cprimo\_central\_multiple\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\_VU1&srt=rank&mp;tab=default\_tab&vI(freeText0)=International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485872916343

39.

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2003;17(2):173-210. doi:10.1093/lawfam/17.2.173

40.

Altman S. A Theory of Child Support. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2003;17(2):173-210. doi:10.1093/lawfam/17.2.173

41.

What's the Deal? Marital Property Agreements, Past Present and Future.  
[https://www.iafl.com/cms\\_media/files/marital\\_property\\_agreements\\_past\\_present\\_and\\_future\\_baroness\\_hale.pdf?static=1](https://www.iafl.com/cms_media/files/marital_property_agreements_past_present_and_future_baroness_hale.pdf?static=1)

42.

Bill 2014-15, second reading and committee stage debates:  
<http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2014-15/divorcefinancialprovisionbill/stages.html>

43.

Ruth Deech: A Clean Break | Big Issue.  
<http://www.bigissue.com/features/4369/ruth-deech-a-clean-break>

44.

Deech R. 'What's a Woman Worth? Family law. Published online 2009:1140-1145.

45.

Herring J, Harris P, George R. Ante-Nuptial Agreements: Fairness, Equality and Presumptions. *Law quarterly review*. 2011;127.

46.

Miles J, Mody P, Probert R, eds. *Marriage Rites and Rights*. Hart Publishing; 2015.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782259657>

47.

Hale B. Equality and autonomy in family law. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2011;33(1):3-14. doi:10.1080/09649069.2011.571466

48.

MACLEAN M, EEKELAAR J. CHILD SUPPORT: THE BRITISH SOLUTION. 'International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family'. 1993;7(2):205-229. doi:10.1093/lawfam/7.2.205

49.

Skinner C. Child Maintenance Reforms: Understanding Fathers' Expressive Agency and the Power of Reciprocity. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2013;27(2):242-265. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebt005

50.

Ellman IM, McKay S, Miles J, Bryson C. Child Support Judgments: Comparing Public Policy to the Public's Policy. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 2014;28(3):274-301. doi:10.1093/lawfam/ebu011

51.

Nutt T. "A Fresh Start for Child Maintenance"? Examining Recent Child Support Policy in Long-Term Historical Perspective. *Journal of social security law*. 2007;14(3):118-130.  
[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110978984082391&indx=1&reclds=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS110978984082391&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&a](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&stTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&indx=1&reclds=44KEN_SFX_DS110978984082391&recldxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&a)

mp;tab=default\_tab&vI(freeText0)=Journal%20of%20Social%20Security%20Law&mp;dum=true&dstmp=1485873499493

52.

Welstead M. 'The Surrogacy Market'. Family law. Published online 2016.

53.

Elsworth M, Gamble N. Are Contracts and Pre-Birth Orders the Way Forward for UK Surrogacy? International Family Law. 2015;2.

[http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo\\_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&sTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&indx=1&recIds=44KEN\\_SFX\\_DS991042728070824&recIdxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN\\_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_SFX\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_CALM\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_MODES\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN\\_EPR\\_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH\\_MW%29%2Cprimo\\_central\\_multiple\\_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN\\_VU1&srt=rank&mp;tab=default\\_tab&vI\(freeText0\)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873723028](http://pmt-eu.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/primo_library/libweb/action/display.do?tabs=detail&sTab&ct=display&fn=search&doc=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&indx=1&recIds=44KEN_SFX_DS991042728070824&recIdxs=0&elementId=0&renderMode=poppedOut&displayMode=full&frbrVersion=&frbg=&&dscnt=0&scp.scps=scope%3A%2844KEN_Voyager%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_SFX_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_CALM_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_MODES_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844KEN_EPR_DS%29%2Cscope%3A%2844MDH_MW%29%2Cprimo_central_multiple_fe&mode=Basic&vid=44KEN_VU1&srt=rank&mp;tab=default_tab&vI(freeText0)=%20International%20Family%20Law&dum=true&dstmp=1485873723028)

54.

Yeatman L. 'Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers'. Family law. 2013;43(12):1581-1587.

55.

Wood C. The Pitfalls of Assisted Reproduction: When is a parent a legal parent? Family law. 2016;October:1242-1246.

56.

Fenton-Glynn C. 'The Regulation and Recognition of Surrogacy under English Law: An Overview of the Case-Law'. Child and family law quarterly. 2015;83.

57.

Surrogacy in the UK: Myth Busting and Reform: Report of the Surrogacy UK Working Group

on Surrogacy Law Reform.

<https://www.kent.ac.uk/law/research/projects/current/surrogacy/Surrogacy%20in%20the%20UK%20Report%20FINAL.pdf>

58.

Julie Wallbank. Channelling the messiness of diverse family lives: resisting the calls to order and de-centring the hetero-normative family. *Journal of Social Welfare & Family Law*. 32(4):353-368.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/chain.kent.ac.uk/doi/abs/10.1080/09649069.2010.539355>

59.

Probert R, Gilmore S, Herring J. *Responsible Parents and Parental Responsibility*. Hart Publishing; 2009.

60.

Herring J, Foster C. Welfare means relationality, virtue and altruism. *Legal Studies*. 2012;32(3):480-498. doi:10.1111/j.1748-121X.2012.00232.x

61.

Gilmore S. Withdrawal of Parental Responsibility: Lost Authority and a Lost Opportunity. *The Modern Law Review*. 2015;78(6):1042-1056. doi:10.1111/1468-2230.12160

62.

Probert R, Gilmore S, Herring J. *Responsible Parents and Parental Responsibility*. Hart Publishing; 2009.

63.

Reece H. The Paramountcy Principle: Consensus or Construct? *Current Legal Problems*. 1996;49(1):267-304. doi:10.1093/clp/49.1.267

64.

Hunter R. Close Encounters of a Judicial Kind: "Hearing" Children's "Voices" in Family Law Proceedings. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2007;19.

65.

Herring J. The welfare principle and the children act: presumably it's about welfare? *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2014;36(1):14-25. doi:10.1080/09649069.2014.887258

66.

Reece H. 'Parental Responsibility as Therapy. *Family law*. Published online 2009.

67.

Barnett A. 'Contact at all costs? Domestic violence and children's welfare'. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2014;26(4).

68.

Yeatman L. 'Lesbian co-parents: still not real mothers'. *Family law*. 2013;43(12):1581-1587.

69.

Hale B. New families and the welfare of children. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2014;36(1):26-35. doi:10.1080/09649069.2014.887259

70.

'The rise and fall of presumptions surrounding the welfare principle.  
[http://www.jordanpublishing.co.uk/practice-areas/family/news\\_and\\_comment/Herring-MayF LJ2013-553#.WJCqP1OLS70](http://www.jordanpublishing.co.uk/practice-areas/family/news_and_comment/Herring-MayF LJ2013-553#.WJCqP1OLS70)

71.

Bremner P. Lesbian parents and biological fathers – leave to apply for contact. *Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law*. 2014;36(1):79-81. doi:10.1080/09649069.2014.886880

72.

Kaganas F. 'A Presumption That Involvement of Both Parents Is Best: Deciphering Law's Messages. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2013;25.

73.

Hunter RC, McGlynn C, Rackley E. *Feminist Judgments: From Theory to Practice*. Hart; 2010.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781847316011>

74.

Bridgeman J, Keating HM, Lind C, eds. *Regulating Family Responsibilities*. Taylor & Francis Ltd; 2016.

75.

Rhoades H. Legislating to promote children's welfare and the quest for certainty. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2012;24(2).

76.

Munby J. 'the14th View from the President's Chambers: care cases: settlement conferences and the tandem model'. *Family law*. 2016;46(9).

77.

Sloan B. LOVING BUT POTENTIALLY HARMFUL PARENTS IN THE SUPREME COURT. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2014;73(01):28-31. doi:10.1017/S0008197314000142

78.

Holt K, Kelly N. At a crossroads: to issue or not to issue care proceedings. *Family law*. 2016;Dec:1450-1455.

79.

Slater L. 'It's voluntary! Accommodation under s.20 of the Children Act 1989. Family law. 2016;Sept:1141-1146.

80.

Delahunty J, Barnes C. Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 1: An introduction. Family law. 2016;Feb.

81.

Delahunty J, Barnes C. Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 2: Practicalities and pitfalls'. Family law. 2016;March.

82.

Delahunty J, Barnes C. 'Radicalisation cases in the family courts: Part 3: Threshold'. Family law. 2016;June.

83.

Fox Harding LM. The Children Act 1989 in context: Four perspectives in Child Care Law and Policy (II). Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 1991;13(4):285-302.  
doi:10.1080/09649069108416148

84.

Wallbank JA, Herring J, eds. Vulnerabilities, Care and Family Law. Routledge; 2014.  
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/kentuk/detail.action?docID=1573305>

85.

Eekelaar J, Maclean M. Family Justice: The Work of Family Judges in Uncertain Times. Hart Publishing; 2013.  
<http://www.vlebooks.com/vleweb/product/openreader?id=KentUniv&isbn=9781782251583>

86.

Wellbourne P. Safeguarding Children on the Edge of Care: Policy for Keeping Children Safe after the Review of the Child Care Proceedings System, Care Matters and the Carter Review of Legal Aid. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2008;20.

87.

Proudman C, Trevena F. 'Setting parents up to fail: punishing hopeless parents is integral to care proceedings. *Family law*. 2012;Aug.

88.

Doughty J. Myths and Misunderstanding in Adoption Law and Policy. *Child and family law quarterly*. 2015;27.

89.

Phillimore S. Contesting the making of an adoption order: *Re W (A child)*. *Family law*. 2016;Sept:1068-1072.

90.

Bainham A. THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 2009;68(02). doi:10.1017/S0008197309000646

91.

McFarlane A. Nothing Else Will Do. *Family law*. 2016;Dec:1403-1412.

92.

Harwin J. Considering the case for parity in policy and practice between adoption and special guardianship: findings from a population wide study. *Family law*. 2016;Feb.

93.

Phillimore S. Making an Adoption Order: What is the Test? *Family law*. 2016;46:1021-1024.

94.

Bainham A. PERMANENCE FOR CHILDREN: SPECIAL GUARDIANSHIP OR ADOPTION? The Cambridge Law Journal. 2007;66(03). doi:10.1017/S0008197307000906

95.

Bainham A. THE PECULIAR FINALITY OF ADOPTION. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2009;68(02). doi:10.1017/S0008197309000646

96.

Holt K, Kelly N. When adoption without parental consent breaches human rights: implications of [2013] EWCA Civ 963 on decision making and permanency planning for children. Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law. 2015;37(2):228-240. doi:10.1080/09649069.2015.1028156

97.

Sloan B. POST-ADOPTION CONTACT REFORM: COMPOUNDING THE STATE-ORDERED TERMINATION OF PARENTHOOD? The Cambridge Law Journal. 2014;73(02):378-404. doi:10.1017/S0008197314000439

98.

Marshall J. CONCEALED BIRTHS, ADOPTION AND HUMAN RIGHTS LAW: BEING WARY OF SEEKING TO OPEN WINDOWS INTO PEOPLE'S SOULS. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2012;71(02):325-354. doi:10.1017/S0008197312000517