

# The Law of Evidence Sections 17- 20 Plus Case Classess

View Online



1

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

2

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

3

Criminal Justice Act 2003.

4

Loof R. Obtaining, adducing and contesting evidence from abroad: a defence perspective on cross-border evidence. Criminal law review 2011;:40-57.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

5

Birch D. Criminal Justice Act 2003: (4) hearsay - same old story, same old song? criminal law review 2004;:556-73.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

6

Worthern T. The hearsay provisions of the Criminal Justice Act 2003: so far, not so good?

## Criminal Law review

2008;:431-42.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

7

Ward tony. Hearsay, psychiatric evidence and the interest of justice. Criminal law review 2009;:415-26.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

8

Denyer R. Proving bad character. Criminal law review 2009;:562-70.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

9

Costigan R. Identification from CCTV: the risk of injustice. Criminal Law Review 2007;:591-608.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

10

Documentary hearsay. Criminal law review 1991;:707-8.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

11

Leake S, Ormerod DC. Evidence: whether Criminal Justice Act 1988, Sch.2, para.3 automatically rendering previous consistent statement admissible as evidence of circumstances from which inference might be drawn as to accuracy or otherwise of documentary hearsay evidence admitted under s.23 of that Act. Criminal law review 2005;:642-5.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

12

Malik B. The hearsay rule under the Criminal Justice Act 2003: R v Xhabri (Agrol).

International journal of evidence & proof

2006;;316-20.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof)

13

Ormerod DC. Hearsay. Criminal Law review

2006;;836-40.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

14

Ormerod DC. Evidence: hearsay - Criminal Justice Act 2003 s.116. Criminal law review

2006;;637-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

15

Ormerod D. Hearsay: approach to admissibility of hearsay evidence of absent witness under s.114(1)(d) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 where conditions in s.116 not satisfied. Criminal Law review

2009;;519-24.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

16

Ormerod D. Evidence: multiple hearsay - written statements from witnesses abroad describing defendant's confessions to murder. Criminal Law review

2011;;399-403.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

17

Ormerod et al D. Coroners and Justice Act 2009: the 'witness anonymity' and 'investigation anonymity' provisions. Criminal Law review

2010;;368-88.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

18

Dennis I. The right to confront witnesses: meanings, myths and human rights. Criminal law review 2010;:255-74.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

19

Birch D. Criminal Justice Act 2003: (4) hearsay - same old story, same old song? criminal law review 2004;:556-73.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

20

O'Brian WE. Confrontation: the defiance of the English courts. International journal of evidence & proof Published Online First: 2011.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

21

Requa M. Absent witnesses and the UK Supreme Court: judicial deference as judicial dialogue? International journal of evidence & proof 2010;:208-31.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

22

Jones I. A political judgment? Reconciling hearsay and the right to challenge. International journal of evidence & proof 2010;:232-52.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

23

Hearsay evidence and Article 6. Criminal law review 2009;:311-2.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

24

Ormerod D. R. v Horncastle and others: evidence - admission at trial of written statement

of witness who has died. Criminal law review  
2010;:496-502.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

25

Webster A. Horncastle v R.: statements from witnesses absent at trial. International journal of evidence & proof  
2009;:324-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

26

Ormerod D. Anonymous witnesses: Criminal Evidence (Witness Anonymity) Act 2008 - general observations. Criminal law review  
2009;:272-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

27

Bagshaw R. Anonymous evidence: R. v Mayers. International journal of evidence & proof  
2009;:137-40.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

28

Ormerod D. R. v Ford (Kamahl): evidence - hearsay evidence - anonymous witness. Criminal law review  
2011;:475-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

29

Excess alcohol in blood - testing of blood using computer - evidence of results given by scientist. Criminal law review  
1987;:422-3.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

30

Pattenden R. Machinespeak: section 129 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003. Criminal law review 2010;;623-37.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

31

Jackson JD. The insufficiency of identification evidence based on personal impression. Criminal law review 1986;;203-14.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

32

Roberts A. The problem of mistaken identification: some observations on process. International journal of evidence & proof 2004;;100-19.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

33

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

34

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

35

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984.

36

Ormerod D. Appeals: Criminal Justice Act 2003 s.58 - whether prosecution can appeal against evidentiary ruling under s.58. criminal law review 2008;;466-72.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

37

Ormerod D. Evidence: hearsay - confession by co-defendant. Criminal Law review 2007;:481-4.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

38

Tapper C. Use of third party confessions: R v Finch. International journal of evidence & proof 2007;:318-21.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

39

Roberts A j. R. v Hamidi; R. v Cherazi: evidence - defendant not giving evidence - Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 s.35. Criminal law review 2010;:578-80.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

40

fitzpatrick B. Confessions. Criminal law review 2007;:160-2.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

41

Roberts A. Evidence: confession - application to exclude. Criminal law review 2011;:232-3.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

42

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

43

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

44

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

45

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

46

Cooper S. Legal advice and pre-trial silence - unreasonable developments. International journal of evidence & proof 2006;:60-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

47

Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.

48

Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999.

49

Roberts A j. R. v Tabbakh: trial - defendant not giving evidence at trial. Criminal law review 2010;:79-82.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

50

Evidence. Criminal law review 1999;:148-50.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)



51

Malik B. Silence on legal advice: clarity but not justice?: R v Beckles. International journal of evidence & proof 2005;:211-6.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

52

Nash S. Drawing inferences from positive suggestions put to witnesses: R v Webber. International journal of evidence & proof 2005;:50-4.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

53

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

54

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

55

Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.

56

Spencer, John R., Flin, Rhona H. The evidence of children: the law and the psychology. 2nd ed. London: : Blackstone 1993.

57

Choo AL. Confessions and corroboration: a comparative perspective. Criminal law review 1991;:867-77.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

58

Williams G. 'Devlin Report.. Criminal Law review Published Online First: 1976.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

59

Clifford B. Psychological Aspects... Criminal law review Published Online First: 1979.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

60

Roberts A. Eyewitness identification and expert insight: R. v Forbes. International journal of evidence & proof 2010;:57-62.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

61

Costigan R. Identification from CCTV: the risk of injustice. Criminal law review 2007;:591-608.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

62

Keane A. The use at trial of scientific findings relating to human memory. Criminal law review 2010;:19-30.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

63

Hartshorne J. Corroboration and care warnings after Makanjuola. International journal of evidence & proof 1998;:1-12.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=evidence and proof&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=evidence%20and%20proof&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

64

Ormerod D. Perjury: corroboration - need for documentary evidence to be independent of

sole witness. Criminal Law Review

2010;;949-51.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

65

Ormerod DC. Evidence: voice recognition - test for admissibility. Criminal law review 2006;;427-30.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

66

Roberts AJ. Identification: recognition - main issue credibility of witness. Criminal Law Review 2007;;571-4.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

67

Roberts AJ. Identification: direction to jury - weaknesses in identification evidence. Criminal law review 2007;;642-4.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

68

Roberts A. R. v Moss (Alvin Shaun): evidence - identification - informal recognition from photograph - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 s.78. Criminal law review 2011;;560-2.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

69

Page F. Evidence: identification evidence - unusual appearance of the accused. Criminal law review 2005;;384-6.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

70

Taylor C. Video identification under PACE Code D: R v Marcus. International journal of evidence & proof 2005;;204-10.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=evidence and](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=evidence%20and)

proof&s=AC\_T\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

71

Roberts AJ. Evidence: street identification - distinct clothing case. Criminal law review 2007;;162-5.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

72

Roberts A. R. v Gojra (Ranjit): evidence - identity - one of two victims identifying defendant at identification parade. Criminal law review 2011;;311-4.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

73

Roberts A. R. v Gojra (Ranjit): evidence - identity - one of two victims identifying defendant at identification parade. criminal law review 2011;;311-4.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

74

Roberts AJ. Identification: visual identification - mistaken identification - direction to jury. Criminal law review 2009;;40-1.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

75

Roberts A j. Identification: police officer recognising appellant from CCTV images - whether admissible - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Codes of Practice, Code D. Criminal law review 2009;;437-40.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

76

Roberts AJ. Identification: police officer recognising appellant from CCTV images - whether admissible - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Codes of Practice, Code D. Criminal

Law review 2009;:437-40.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

77

Roberts AJ. Identification: police officer recognising appellant from CCTV images - whether admissible - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Codes of Practice, Code D. Criminal law review 2009;:437-40.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

78

Roberts AJ. Evidence: identification evidence - fresh evidence. Criminal Law review 2008;:57-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

79

Roberts A. Evidence: identification - voice recognition. Criminal Law review 2008;:799-802.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

80

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

81

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

82

Commission L. Expert Evidence in Criminal Proceedings -. doi:index.htm

83

Ed. Examining expert evidence. Criminal Law Review

2009;:387-8.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

84

Ellison L. 'Could you ask me that in a different way please?' Exploring the impact of courtroom questioning and witness familiarisation on adult witness accuracy. *Criminal Law Review* 2010;:823-39.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

85

Keane A. The use at trial of scientific findings relating to human memory. *Criminal Law review* 2010;:19-30.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

86

Heffernen L, Coen M. Juror comprehension of expert evidence: a reform agenda. *criminal law review* 2010;:195-211.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

87

Hartshorne J, Miola jose. Expert evidence: difficulties and solutions in prosecutions for infant harm. *Legal studies (Society of Legal Scholars)* 2010;**30**:279-300.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_M&C= Legal studies \(Society of Legal Scholars\)](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_M&C=Legal%20studies%20(Society%20of%20Legal%20Scholars))

88

Ed. Reliability and admissibility of expert evidence. *Criminal Law review* 2011;:431-2.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

89

Redmayne, Mike. *Expert evidence and criminal justice*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2001.  
<http://library.kent.ac.uk/cgi-bin/resources.cgi?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/978>

0198267805.001.0001

90

Roberts A. Drawing on expertise: legal decision-making and the reception of expert evidence. *Criminal Law review* 2008;:443-62.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

91

Roberts A. Rejecting general acceptance, confounding the gate-keeper: the Law Commission and expert evidence. *Criminal Law review* 2009;:551-61.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

92

Ward T. Usurping the role of the jury? Expert evidence and witness credibility in English criminal trials. *International journal of evidence & proof* 2009;:83-101.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

93

Klinker melanie. Forensic science expertise for international criminal proceedings: an old problem, a new context and a pragmatic resolution. *International journal of evidence & proof* 2009;:102-29.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

94

Dwyer D. Legal remedies for the negligent expert. *International journal of evidence & proof* 2008;:93-115.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

95

Cunliffe E. Without fear or favour? Trends and possibilities in the Canadian approach to

expert human behaviour evidence. International journal of evidence & proof  
2006;:280-315.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof)

96

Warburton D, Lewis T. Opinion evidence; admissibility of ad hoc expert voice recognition evidence: R. v Flynn. International journal of evidence & proof  
2009;:50-7.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof)

97

Roberts A. Evidence: identification - voice recognition. Criminal Law review  
2008;:799-802.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

98

Ormerod DC. Sounds familiar? Voice identification evidence. Criminal law review  
2001;:595-622.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

99

Ormerod DC. Psychological autopsies: legal applications and admissibility. International journal of evidence & proof  
2001;:1-31.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof)

100

Roberts A j. Expert evidence: murder - no medical evidence called by defence at trial. Criminal Law review  
2009;:195-7.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

101

Ormerod D. R.v Gian; R. v Mohd-Yussof: evidence - expert evidence - legal and scientific



certainty. Criminal Law Review

2010;:409-13.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

102

Expert evidence - mental capacity of defendant - admissibility of evidence. Criminal Law review 1986;:395-7.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

103

Roberts AJ. R. v Atkins (D and M): evidence - expert - 'facial mapping'. Criminal Law review 2010;:141-4.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

104

Redmayne M, Roberts P, Aitken C, et al. Forensic science evidence in questions. Criminal law review 2011;:347-56.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

105

Redmayne M, Roberts P, Aitken C, et al. Forensic science evidence in questions. Criminal law review 2011;:347-56.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

106

Dwyer D. The duties of expert witnesses of fact and opinion: R v Clark (Sally). International journal of evidence & proof 2003;:264-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

107

Walker CP, McCartney C. Evidence: expert witnesses seriously disagreeing as to whether cause of death of infants natural or unnatural. Criminal Law review 2005;:126-30.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law)

review&s=AC\_T\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

108

Roberts AJ. Experts - duties of experts - obligations to court. Criminal Law review 2006;:745-8.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

109

Naughton M, Tan G. The right to access DNA testing by alleged innocent victims of wrongful convictions in the United Kingdom. International journal of evidence & proof 2010;:326-45.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

110

Wheate R. The importance of DNA evidence to juries in criminal trials. International journal of evidence & proof 2010;:129-45.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

111

Roberts A. R. v C: DNA evidence - disputed DNA evidence - compliance by experts with Criminal Procedure Rules 2010. Criminal Law Review 2011;:396-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

112

Roberts A. R. v C: DNA evidence - disputed DNA evidence - compliance by experts with Criminal Procedure Rules 2010. Criminal Law Review 2011;:396-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

113

Roberts A. R. v C: DNA evidence - disputed DNA evidence - compliance by experts with Criminal Procedure Rules 2010. Criminal Law Review

2011;;396-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

114

Ashworth A. Human rights: fingerprints and DNA samples from unconvicted persons retained. *Criminal Law review* 2009;;355-7.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

115

Roberts A. Evidence: expert evidence in cases involving sudden death of child. *criminal law review* 2010;;945-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

116

Durston, Gregory. *Evidence: text & materials*. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

117

Munday RJC. *Evidence*. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

118

Dennis, I. H. *The law of evidence*. 4th ed. London: : Sweet & Maxwell / Thomson Reuters 2010.

119

Anderson, Terence, Twining, William L., Wigmore, John Henry, et al. *Analysis of evidence*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005.  
<http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Kent&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780511556463>

120

Laudan L. Strange bedfellows: inference to the best explanation and the criminal standard of proof. *International journal of evidence & proof* 2007;**11**:292-306.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC\\_T\\_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof)

121

Thomas DA. R. v Whittington: confiscation order - criminal lifestyle - assumptions. *Criminal Law Review* 2010;;65-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

122

Ormerod D. R. v Green: evidence - conspiracy to supply a controlled drug. *Criminal law review* 2010;;306-9.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

123

Munday RJC. *Evidence*. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

124

Durston, Gregory. *Evidence: text & materials*. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

125

Edwards A. Case management, compliance and resources. *Criminal law review* 2007;;665-6.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

126

Munday RJC. *Evidence*. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

127

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

128

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

129

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

130

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

131

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

132

Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999.

133

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

134

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

135

Ashworth A. Human rights: Article 6(1) - privilege against self-incrimination - offence under the Road Traffic Act 1988 s.172 of failing to furnish information. Criminal Law review 2007;:897-900.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

136

Ashworth A. Human rights: article 6(1) - privilege against self-incrimination - Austrian equivalent of offence under s.172 of the Road Traffic Act 1988. Criminal Law review 2008;:549-50.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

137

Roberts A. Privilege: evidence - privilege - incrimination - defendant pleading guilty to offence of terrorism. Criminal Law review 2008;:391-4.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

138

Informer privilege - Canada. Criminal Law review 2007;:747-8.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

139

Walker C. Terrorism: possessing an article in circumstances giving rise to reasonable suspicion that possession being for...terrorism. Criminal law review 2008;:71-2.[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC\\_T\\_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

140

Tapper, Colin, Cross, Rupert. Cross and Tapper on evidence. 11th ed. London: : Butterworths 2007.

141

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

142

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

143

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984.

144

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

145

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

146

Criminal Justice Act 2003.

147

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

148

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

149

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

150

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

151

Criminal Justice Act 2003.

152

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

153

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

154

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

155

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

156

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

157

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.



158

Criminal Justice Act 2003.

159

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

160

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.

161

Munday RJC. Evidence. Eighth edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.

162

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: text & materials. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2011.