

The Law of Evidence Sections 17- 20 Plus Case Classess

View Online



-
1.
Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

 2.
Durstun, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

 3.
Criminal Justice Act 2003.

 4.
Loof R. Obtaining, adducing and contesting evidence from abroad: a defence perspective on cross-border evidence. Criminal law review. 2011;(1):40-57.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

 5.
Birch D. Criminal Justice Act 2003: (4) hearsay - same old story, same old song? criminal law review. 2004;(Jul):556-573. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

 6.
Worthern T. The hearsay provisions of the Criminal Justice Act 2003: so far, not so good?

Criminal Law review. 2008;(6):431-442.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

7.

Ward tony. Hearsay, psychiatric evidence and the interest of justice. Criminal law review. 2009;(6):415-426. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

8.

Denyer R. Proving bad character. Criminal law review. 2009;(8):562-570. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

9.

Costigan R. Identification from CCTV: the risk of injustice. Criminal Law Review. 2007;(Aug):591-608. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

10.

Documentary hearsay. Criminal law review. 1991;(Sep):707-708. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

11.

Leake S, Ormerod DC. Evidence: whether Criminal Justice Act 1988, Sch.2, para.3 automatically rendering previous consistent statement admissible as evidence of circumstances from which inference might be drawn as to accuracy or otherwise of documentary hearsay evidence admitted under s.23 of that Act. Criminal law review. 2005;(Aug):642-645. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

12.

Malik B. The hearsay rule under the Criminal Justice Act 2003: R v Xhabri (Agrol).

International journal of evidence & proof . 2006;(10(4)):316-320.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

13.

Ormerod DC. Hearsay. Criminal Law review. 2006;(Sep):836-840.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

14.

Ormerod DC. Evidence: hearsay - Criminal Justice Act 2003 s.116. Criminal law review. 2006;(Jul):637-639. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

15.

Ormerod D. Hearsay: approach to admissibility of hearsay evidence of absent witness under s.114(1)(d) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 where conditions in s.116 not satisfied. Criminal Law review. 2009;(7):519-524.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

16.

Ormerod D. Evidence: multiple hearsay - written statements from witnesses abroad describing defendant's confessions to murder. Criminal Law review. 2011;(5):399-403.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

17.

Ormerod et al D. Coroners and Justice Act 2009: the 'witness anonymity' and 'investigation anonymity' provisions. Criminal Law review. 2010;(5):368-388.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

18.

Dennis I. The right to confront witnesses: meanings, myths and human rights. *Criminal law review*. 2010;(4):255-274. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

19.

Birch D. Criminal Justice Act 2003: (4) hearsay - same old story, same old song? *criminal law review*. 2004;(Jul):556-573. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

20.

O'Brian WE. Confrontation: the defiance of the English courts. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 2011;(15 (2)). [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

21.

Requa M. Absent witnesses and the UK Supreme Court: judicial deference as judicial dialogue? *International journal of evidence & proof*. 2010;(14(3)):208-231. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

22.

Jones I. A political judgment? Reconciling hearsay and the right to challenge. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 2010;(14(3)):232-252. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

23.

Hearsay evidence and Article 6. *Criminal law review*. 2009;(5):311-312. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

24.

Ormerod D. *R. v Horncastle and others*: evidence - admission at trial of written statement

of witness who has died. *Criminal law review*. 2010;(6):496-502.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

25.

Webster A. *Horncastle v R.*: statements from witnesses absent at trial. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 2009;(13(4)):324-329.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

26.

Ormerod D. Anonymous witnesses: Criminal Evidence (Witness Anonymity) Act 2008 - general observations. *Criminal law review*. 2009;(4):272-279.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

27.

Bagshaw R. Anonymous evidence: *R. v Mayers*. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 2009;(13(2)):137-140.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

28.

Ormerod D. *R. v Ford (Kamahl)*: evidence - hearsay evidence - anonymous witness. *Criminal law review*. 2011;(6):475-479.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

29.

Excess alcohol in blood - testing of blood using computer - evidence of results given by scientist. *Criminal law review*. 1987;(Jun):422-423.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

30.

Pattenden R. Machinespeak: section 129 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003. Criminal law review. 2010;(8):623-637. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

31.

Jackson JD. The insufficiency of identification evidence based on personal impression. Criminal law review. 1986;(Apr):203-214. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

32.

Roberts A. The problem of mistaken identification: some observations on process. International journal of evidence & proof . 2004;(8(2)):100-119. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

33.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

34.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

35.

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984.

36.

Ormerod D. Appeals: Criminal Justice Act 2003 s.58 - whether prosecution can appeal against evidentiary ruling under s.58. criminal law review. 2008;(6):466-472. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

37.

Ormerod D. Evidence: hearsay - confession by co-defendant. Criminal Law review. 2007;(Jun):481-484. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

38.

Tapper C. Use of third party confessions: R v Finch. International journal of evidence & proof. 2007;(11(4)):318-321. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

39.

Roberts A j. R. v Hamidi; R. v Cherazi: evidence - defendant not giving evidence - Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 s.35. Criminal law review. 2010;(7):578-580. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

40.

fitzpatrick B. Confessions. Criminal law review. 2007;(Feb):160-162. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

41.

Roberts A. Evidence: confession - application to exclude. Criminal law review. 2011;(3):232-233. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

42.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

43.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

44.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

45.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

46.

Cooper S. Legal advice and pre-trial silence - unreasonable developments. International journal of evidence & proof . 2006;(10(1)):60-69.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

47.

Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.

48.

Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999.

49.

Roberts A j. R. v Tabbakh: trial - defendant not giving evidence at trial. Criminal law review . 2010;(1):79-82. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

50.

Evidence. Criminal law review. 1999;(Feb):148-150.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

51.

Malik B. Silence on legal advice: clarity but not justice?: R v Beckles. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 2005;(9 (3)):211-216.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

52.

Nash S. Drawing inferences from positive suggestions put to witnesses: R v Webber. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 2005;(9 (1)):50-54.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

53.

Munday RJC. *Evidence*. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

54.

Durston, Gregory. *Evidence: Text & Materials*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

55.

Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.

56.

Spencer, John R., Flin, Rhona H. *The Evidence of Children: The Law and the Psychology*. 2nd ed. Blackstone; 1993.

57.

Choo AL. Confessions and corroboration: a comparative perspective. *Criminal law review*. 1991;(Dec):867-877. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

58.

Williams G. 'Devlin Report.. Criminal Law review. Published online 1976.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

59.

Clifford B. Psychological Aspects... Criminal law review. Published online 1979.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

60.

Roberts A. Eyewitness identification and expert insight: R. v Forbes. International journal of evidence & proof. 2010;(14(1)):57-62.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence%20and%20proof)

61.

Costigan R. Identification from CCTV: the risk of injustice. Criminal law review. 2007;(Aug):591-608. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

62.

Keane A. The use at trial of scientific findings relating to human memory. Criminal law review. 2010;(1):19-30. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

63.

Hartshorne J. Corroboration and care warnings after Makanjuola. International journal of evidence & proof. 1998;(2(1)):1-12.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=evidence and proof&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=evidence%20and%20proof&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

64.

Ormerod D. Perjury: corroboration - need for documentary evidence to be independent of sole witness. *Criminal Law Review*. 2010;(12):949-951.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

65.

Ormerod DC. Evidence: voice recognition - test for admissibility. *Criminal law review*. 2006;(May):427-430. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

66.

Roberts AJ. Identification: recognition - main issue credibility of witness. *Criminal Law Review*. 2007;(Jul):571-574. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

67.

Roberts AJ. Identification: direction to jury - weaknesses in identification evidence. *Criminal law review*. 2007;(Aug):642-644.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

68.

Roberts A. *R. v Moss (Alvin Shaun): evidence - identification - informal recognition from photograph - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 s.78*. *Criminal law review*. 2011;(7):560-562. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

69.

Page F. Evidence: identification evidence - unusual appearance of the accused. *Criminal law review*. 2005;(May):384-386. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

70.

Taylor C. Video identification under PACE Code D: *R v Marcus*. *International journal of*

evidence & proof . 2005;(9(3)):204-210.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=evidence and proof&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

71.

Roberts AJ. Evidence: street identification - distinct clothing case. Criminal law review. 2007;(Feb):162-165. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

72.

Roberts A. R. v Gojra (Ranjit): evidence - identity - one of two victims identifying defendant at identification parade. Criminal law review. 2011;(4):311-314. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

73.

Roberts A. R. v Gojra (Ranjit): evidence - identity - one of two victims identifying defendant at identification parade. criminal law review. 2011;(4):311-314. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

74.

Roberts AJ. Identification: visual identification - mistaken identification - direction to jury. Criminal law review. 2009;(1):40-41. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

75.

Roberts A j. Identification: police officer recognising appellant from CCTV images - whether admissible - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Codes of Practice, Code D. Criminal law review. 2009;(6):437-440. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

76.

Roberts AJ. Identification: police officer recognising appellant from CCTV images - whether admissible - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Codes of Practice, Code D. Criminal Law review. 2009;(6):437-440. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

77.

Roberts AJ. Identification: police officer recognising appellant from CCTV images - whether admissible - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Codes of Practice, Code D. Criminal law review. 2009;(6):437-440. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

78.

Roberts AJ. Evidence: identification evidence - fresh evidence. Criminal Law review. 2008;(1):57-59. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

79.

Roberts A. Evidence: identification - voice recognition. Criminal Law review. 2008;(10):799-802. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

80.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

81.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

82.

Commission L. Expert Evidence in Criminal Proceedings -. doi:index.htm

83.

Ed. Examining expert evidence. *Criminal Law Review*. 2009;(6):387-388.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

84.

Ellison L. 'Could you ask me that in a different way please?' Exploring the impact of courtroom questioning and witness familiarisation on adult witness accuracy. *Criminal Law Review*. 2010;(11):823-839. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

85.

Keane A. The use at trial of scientific findings relating to human memory. *Criminal Law review*. 2010;(1):19-30. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

86.

Heffernen L, Coen M. Juror comprehension of expert evidence: a reform agenda. *criminal law review*. 2010;(3):195-211. [http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

87.

Hartshorne J, Miola jose. Expert evidence: difficulties and solutions in prosecutions for infant harm. *Legal studies (Society of Legal Scholars)* . 2010;30(2):279-300.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_M&C= Legal studies \(Society of Legal Scholars\)](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_M&C=Legal%20studies%20(Society%20of%20Legal%20Scholars))

88.

Ed. Reliability and admissibility of expert evidence. *Criminal Law review*. 2011;(6):431-432.
[http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10](http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal%20law%20review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10)

89.

Redmayne, Mike. *Expert Evidence and Criminal Justice*. Vol Oxford monographs on criminal law and justice. Oxford University Press; 2001.

<http://library.kent.ac.uk/cgi-bin/resources.cgi?url=http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198267805.001.0001>

90.

Roberts A. Drawing on expertise: legal decision-making and the reception of expert evidence. *Criminal Law review*. 2008;(6):443-462.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

91.

Roberts A. Rejecting general acceptance, confounding the gate-keeper: the Law Commission and expert evidence. *Criminal Law review*. 2009;(8):551-561.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

92.

Ward T. Usurping the role of the jury? Expert evidence and witness credibility in English criminal trials. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 2009;(13(2)):83-101.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

93.

Klinker melanie. Forensic science expertise for international criminal proceedings: an old problem, a new context and a pragmatic resolution. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 2009;(13(2)):102-129.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

94.

Dwyer D. Legal remedies for the negligent expert. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 2008;(12(2)):93-115.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

95.

Cunliffe E. Without fear or favour? Trends and possibilities in the Canadian approach to expert human behaviour evidence. *International journal of evidence & proof*.

2006;(10(4)):280-315.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

96.

Warburton D, Lewis T. Opinion evidence; admissibility of ad hoc expert voice recognition evidence: *R. v Flynn*. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 2009;(13(1)):50-57.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

97.

Roberts A. Evidence: identification - voice recognition. *Criminal Law review*.

2008;(10):799-802. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

98.

Ormerod DC. Sounds familiar? Voice identification evidence. *Criminal law review*.

2001;(Aug):595-622. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

99.

Ormerod DC. Psychological autopsies: legal applications and admissibility. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 2001;(5(1)):1-31.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

100.

Roberts A j. Expert evidence: murder - no medical evidence called by defence at trial. *Criminal Law review*. 2009;(3):195-197.

http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

101.

Ormerod D. R.v Gian; R. v Mohd-Yussof: evidence - expert evidence - legal and scientific certainty. Criminal Law Review. 2010;(5):409-413.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

102.

Expert evidence - mental capacity of defendant - admissibility of evidence. Criminal Law review. 1986;(Jun):395-397. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

103.

Roberts AJ. R. v Atkins (D and M): evidence - expert - 'facial mapping'. Criminal Law review . 2010;(2):141-144. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

104.

Redmayne M, Roberts P, Aitken C, Jackson G. Forensic science evidence in questions. Criminal law review. 2011;(5):347-356.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

105.

Redmayne M, Roberts P, Aitken C, Jackson G. Forensic science evidence in questions. Criminal law review. 2011;(5):347-356.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

106.

Dwyer D. The duties of expert witnesses of fact and opinion: R v Clark (Sally). International journal of evidence & proof . 2003;(7(4)):264-269.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

107.

Walker CP, McCartney C. Evidence: expert witnesses seriously disagreeing as to whether cause of death of infants natural or unnatural. Criminal Law review. 2005;(Feb):126-130. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

108.

Roberts AJ. Experts - duties of experts - obligations to court. Criminal Law review. 2006;(Aug):745-748. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

109.

Naughton M, Tan G. The right to access DNA testing by alleged innocent victims of wrongful convictions in the United Kingdom. International journal of evidence & proof . 2010;(14(4)):326-345. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

110.

Wheate R. The importance of DNA evidence to juries in criminal trials. International journal of evidence & proof. 2010;(14(2)):129-145. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

111.

Roberts A. R. v C: DNA evidence - disputed DNA evidence - compliance by experts with Criminal Procedure Rules 2010. Criminal Law Review. 2011;(5):396-399. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

112.

Roberts A. R. v C: DNA evidence - disputed DNA evidence - compliance by experts with Criminal Procedure Rules 2010. Criminal Law Review. 2011;(5):396-399. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

113.

Roberts A. R. v C: DNA evidence - disputed DNA evidence - compliance by experts with Criminal Procedure Rules 2010. *Criminal Law Review*. 2011;(5):396-399.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

114.

Ashworth A. Human rights: fingerprints and DNA samples from unconvicted persons retained. *Criminal Law review*. 2009;(5):355-357.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

115.

Roberts A. Evidence: expert evidence in cases involving sudden death of child. *criminal law review*. 2010;(12):945-949. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

116.

Durston, Gregory. *Evidence: Text & Materials*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

117.

Munday RJC. *Evidence*. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

118.

Dennis, I. H. *The Law of Evidence*. 4th ed. Sweet & Maxwell / Thomson Reuters; 2010.

119.

Anderson, Terence, Twining, William L., Wigmore, John Henry, Dawsonera. *Analysis of Evidence*. Vol Law in context. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press; 2005.
<http://www.dawsonera.com/guard/protected/dawson.jsp?name=University%20of%20Kent&dest=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780511556463>

120.

Laudan L. Strange bedfellows: inference to the best explanation and the criminal standard of proof. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 2007;11(4):292-306.
http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?V=1.0&N=100&L=DF7SM3XP4S&S=AC_T_B&C=evidence and proof

121.

Thomas DA. *R. v Whittington: confiscation order - criminal lifestyle - assumptions*. *Criminal Law Review*. 2010;(1):65-69. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

122.

Ormerod D. *R. v Green: evidence - conspiracy to supply a controlled drug*. *Criminal law review*. 2010;(4):306-309. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

123.

Munday RJC. *Evidence*. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

124.

Durston, Gregory. *Evidence: Text & Materials*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

125.

Edwards A. *Case management, compliance and resources*. *Criminal law review*. 2007;(Sep):665-666. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

126.

Munday RJC. *Evidence*. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

127.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

128.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

129.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

130.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

131.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

132.

Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999.

133.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

134.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

135.

Ashworth A. Human rights: Article 6(1) - privilege against self-incrimination - offence under the Road Traffic Act 1988 s.172 of failing to furnish information. Criminal Law review. 2007;(Nov):897-900. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

136.

Ashworth A. Human rights: article 6(1) - privilege against self-incrimination - Austrian equivalent of offence under s.172 of the Road Traffic Act 1988. Criminal Law review. 2008;(7):549-550. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

137.

Roberts A. Privilege: evidence - privilege - incrimination - defendant pleading guilty to offence of terrorism. Criminal Law review. 2008;(5):391-394. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

138.

Informer privilege - Canada. Criminal Law review. 2007;(Sep):747-748. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

139.

Walker C. Terrorism: possessing an article in circumstances giving rise to reasonable suspicion that possession being for...terrorism. Criminal law review. 2008;(1):71-72. http://df7sm3xp4s.search.serialssolutions.com/?C=criminal law review&s=AC_T_B&V=1.0&L=DF7SM3XP4S&C=&S=SC&N=10

140.

Tapper, Colin, Cross, Rupert. Cross and Tapper on Evidence. 11th ed. Butterworths; 2007.

141.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

142.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

143.

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984.

144.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

145.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

146.

Criminal Justice Act 2003.

147.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

148.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

149.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

150.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

151.

Criminal Justice Act 2003.

152.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

153.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

154.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

155.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

156.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

157.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

158.

Criminal Justice Act 2003.

159.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

160.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.

161.

Munday RJC. Evidence. Vol Core text series. Eighth edition. Oxford University Press; 2015.

162.

Durston, Gregory. Evidence: Text & Materials. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2011.