

The Law of Evidence Sections 17- 20 Plus Case Classess

View Online



[1]

Roberts, A.J. 2009. Identification: police officer recognising appellant from CCTV images - whether admissible - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Codes of Practice, Code D. Criminal Law review. 6 (2009), 437-440.

[2]

Anderson, Terence et al. 2005. Analysis of evidence. Cambridge University Press.

[3]

Ashworth, A. 2008. Human rights: article 6(1) - privilege against self-incrimination - Austrian equivalent of offence under s.172 of the Road Traffic Act 1988. Criminal Law review. 7 (2008), 549-550.

[4]

Ashworth, A. 2007. Human rights: Article 6(1) - privilege against self-incrimination - offence under the Road Traffic Act 1988 s.172 of failing to furnish information. Criminal Law review . Nov (2007), 897-900.

[5]

Ashworth, A. 2009. Human rights: fingerprints and DNA samples from unconvicted persons retained. Criminal Law review. 5 (2009), 355-357.

[6]

Bagshaw, R. 2009. Anonymous evidence: R. v Mayers. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 13(2) (2009), 137-140.

[7]

Birch, D. 2004. Criminal Justice Act 2003: (4) hearsay - same old story, same old song? *criminal law review*. Jul (2004), 556-573.

[8]

Birch, D. 2004. Criminal Justice Act 2003: (4) hearsay - same old story, same old song? *criminal law review*. Jul (2004), 556-573.

[9]

Choo, A.L. 1991. Confessions and corroboration: a comparative perspective. *Criminal law review*. Dec (1991), 867-877.

[10]

Clifford, B. 1979. Psychological Aspects... *Criminal law review*. (1979).

[11]

Cooper, S. 2006. Legal advice and pre-trial silence - unreasonable developments. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 10(1) (2006), 60-69.

[12]

Costigan, R. 2007. Identification from CCTV: the risk of injustice. *Criminal Law Review*. Aug (2007), 591-608.

[13]

Costigan, R. 2007. Identification from CCTV: the risk of injustice. *Criminal law review*. Aug (2007), 591-608.

[14]

Criminal Justice Act 2003: .

[15]

Criminal Justice Act 2003: .

[16]

Criminal Justice Act 2003: .

[17]

Criminal Justice Act 2003: .

[18]

Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994: .

[19]

Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994: .

[20]

Cunliffe, E. 2006. Without fear or favour? Trends and possibilities in the Canadian approach to expert human behaviour evidence. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 10(4) (2006), 280-315.

[21]

Dennis, I. 2010. The right to confront witnesses: meanings, myths and human rights. *Criminal law review*. 4 (2010), 255-274.

[22]

Dennis, I. H. 2010. The law of evidence. Sweet & Maxwell / Thomson Reuters.

[23]

Denyer, R. 2009. Proving bad character. Criminal law review. 8 (2009), 562-570.

[24]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[25]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[26]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[27]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[28]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[29]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[30]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[31]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[32]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[33]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[34]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[35]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[36]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[37]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[38]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[39]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[40]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[41]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[42]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[43]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[44]

Durston, Gregory 2011. Evidence: text & materials. Oxford University Press.

[45]

Dwyer, D. 2008. Legal remedies for the negligent expert. International journal of evidence & proof. 12(2) (2008), 93-115.

[46]

Dwyer, D. 2003. The duties of expert witnesses of fact and opinion: R v Clark (Sally). International journal of evidence & proof . 7(4) (2003), 264-269.

[47]

Ed 2009. Examining expert evidence. Criminal Law Review. 6 (2009), 387-388.

[48]

Ed 2011. Reliability and admissibility of expert evidence. *Criminal Law review*. 6 (2011), 431-432.

[49]

Edwards, A. 2007. Case management, compliance and resources. *Criminal law review*. Sep (2007), 665-666.

[50]

Ellison, L. 2010. 'Could you ask me that in a different way please?' Exploring the impact of courtroom questioning and witness familiarisation on adult witness accuracy. *Criminal Law Review*. 11 (2010), 823-839.

[51]

Expert Evidence in Criminal Proceedings -: .

[52]

fitzpatrick, B. 2007. Confessions. *Criminal law review*. Feb (2007), 160-162.

[53]

Hartshorne, J. 1998. Corroboration and care warnings after Makanjuola. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 2(1) (1998), 1-12.

[54]

Hartshorne, J. and Miola, jose 2010. Expert evidence: difficulties and solutions in prosecutions for infant harm. *Legal studies (Society of Legal Scholars)* . 30, 2 (2010), 279-300.

[55]

Heffernen, L. and Coen, M. 2010. Juror comprehension of expert evidence: a reform

agenda. criminal law review. 3 (2010), 195–211.

[56]

Jackson, J.D. 1986. The insufficiency of identification evidence based on personal impression. Criminal law review. Apr (1986), 203–214.

[57]

Jones, I. 2010. A political judgment? Reconciling hearsay and the right to challenge. International journal of evidence & proof. 14(3) (2010), 232–252.

[58]

Keane, A. 2010. The use at trial of scientific findings relating to human memory. Criminal law review. 1 (2010), 19–30.

[59]

Keane, A. 2010. The use at trial of scientific findings relating to human memory. Criminal Law review. 1 (2010), 19–30.

[60]

Klinker, melanie 2009. Forensic science expertise for international criminal proceedings: an old problem, a new context and a pragmatic resolution. International journal of evidence & proof . 13(2) (2009), 102–129.

[61]

Laudan, L. 2007. Strange bedfellows: inference to the best explanation and the criminal standard of proof. International journal of evidence & proof . 11, 4 (2007), 292–306.

[62]

Leake, S. and Ormerod, D.C. 2005. Evidence: whether Criminal Justice Act 1988, Sch.2, para.3 automatically rendering previous consistent statement admissible as evidence of circumstances from which inference might be drawn as to accuracy or otherwise of

documentary hearsay evidence admitted under s.23 of that Act. *Criminal law review*. Aug (2005), 642–645.

[63]

Loof, R. 2011. Obtaining, adducing and contesting evidence from abroad: a defence perspective on cross-border evidence. *Criminal law review*. 1 (2011), 40–57.

[64]

Malik, B. 2005. Silence on legal advice: clarity but not justice?: *R v Beckles*. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 9 (3) (2005), 211–216.

[65]

Malik, B. 2006. The hearsay rule under the Criminal Justice Act 2003: *R v Xhabri (Agrol)*. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 10(4) (2006), 316–320.

[66]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. *Evidence*. Oxford University Press.

[67]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. *Evidence*. Oxford University Press.

[68]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. *Evidence*. Oxford University Press.

[69]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. *Evidence*. Oxford University Press.

[70]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[71]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[72]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[73]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[74]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[75]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[76]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[77]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[78]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[79]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[80]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[81]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[82]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[83]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[84]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[85]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[86]

Munday, R.J.C. 2015. Evidence. Oxford University Press.

[87]

Nash, S. 2005. Drawing inferences from positive suggestions put to witnesses: R v Webber. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 9 (1) (2005), 50–54.

[88]

Naughton, M. and Tan, G. 2010. The right to access DNA testing by alleged innocent victims of wrongful convictions in the United Kingdom. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 14(4) (2010), 326–345.

[89]

O'Brian, W.E. 2011. Confrontation: the defiance of the English courts. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 15 (2) (2011).

[90]

Ormerod, D. 2009. Anonymous witnesses: Criminal Evidence (Witness Anonymity) Act 2008 - general observations. *Criminal law review*. 4 (2009), 272–279.

[91]

Ormerod, D. 2008. Appeals: Criminal Justice Act 2003 s.58 - whether prosecution can appeal against evidentiary ruling under s.58. *criminal law review*. 6 (2008), 466–472.

[92]

Ormerod, D. 2007. Evidence: hearsay - confession by co-defendant. *Criminal Law review*. Jun (2007), 481–484.

[93]

Ormerod, D. 2011. Evidence: multiple hearsay - written statements from witnesses abroad describing defendant's confessions to murder. *Criminal Law review*. 5 (2011), 399–403.

[94]

Ormerod, D. 2009. Hearsay: approach to admissibility of hearsay evidence of absent witness under s.114(1)(d) of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 where conditions in s.116 not satisfied. *Criminal Law review*. 7 (2009), 519–524.

[95]

Ormerod, D. 2010. Perjury: corroboration - need for documentary evidence to be independent of sole witness. *Criminal Law Review*. 12 (2010), 949-951.

[96]

Ormerod, D. 2011. *R. v Ford (Kamahl)*: evidence - hearsay evidence - anonymous witness. *Criminal law review*. 6 (2011), 475-479.

[97]

Ormerod, D. 2010. *R. v Green*: evidence - conspiracy to supply a controlled drug. *Criminal law review*. 4 (2010), 306-309.

[98]

Ormerod, D. 2010. *R. v Horncastle and others*: evidence - admission at trial of written statement of witness who has died. *Criminal law review*. 6 (2010), 496-502.

[99]

Ormerod, D. 2010. *R. v Gian*; *R. v Mohd-Yussof*: evidence - expert evidence - legal and scientific certainty. *Criminal Law Review*. 5 (2010), 409-413.

[100]

Ormerod, D.C. 2006. Evidence: hearsay - Criminal Justice Act 2003 s.116. *Criminal law review*. Jul (2006), 637-639.

[101]

Ormerod, D.C. 2006. Evidence: voice recognition - test for admissibility. *Criminal law review*. May (2006), 427-430.

[102]

Ormerod, D.C. 2006. Hearsay. *Criminal Law review*. Sep (2006), 836-840.

[103]

Ormerod, D.C. 2001. Psychological autopsies: legal applications and admissibility. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 5(1) (2001), 1-31.

[104]

Ormerod, D.C. 2001. Sounds familiar? Voice identification evidence. *Criminal law review*. Aug (2001), 595-622.

[105]

Ormerod et al , D. 2010. Coroners and Justice Act 2009: the 'witness anonymity' and 'investigation anonymity' provisions. *Criminal Law review*. 5 (2010), 368-388.

[106]

Page, F. 2005. Evidence: identification evidence - unusual appearance of the accused. *Criminal law review*. May (2005), 384-386.

[107]

Pattenden, R. 2010. Machinespeak: section 129 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003. *Criminal law review*. 8 (2010), 623-637.

[108]

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984: .

[109]

Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984: .

[110]

Redmayne, M. et al. 2011. Forensic science evidence in questions. *Criminal law review*. 5

(2011), 347-356.

[111]

Redmayne, M. et al. 2011. Forensic science evidence in questions. *Criminal law review*. 5 (2011), 347-356.

[112]

Redmayne, Mike 2001. *Expert evidence and criminal justice*. Oxford University Press.

[113]

Requa, M. 2010. Absent witnesses and the UK Supreme Court: judicial deference as judicial dialogue? *International journal of evidence & proof*. 14(3) (2010), 208-231.

[114]

Roberts, A. 2008. Drawing on expertise: legal decision-making and the reception of expert evidence. *Criminal Law review*. 6 (2008), 443-462.

[115]

Roberts, A. 2011. Evidence: confession - application to exclude. *Criminal law review*. 3 (2011), 232-233.

[116]

Roberts, A. 2010. Evidence: expert evidence in cases involving sudden death of child. *criminal law review*. 12 (2010), 945-949.

[117]

Roberts, A. 2008. Evidence: identification - voice recognition. *Criminal Law review*. 10 (2008), 799-802.

[118]

Roberts, A. 2008. Evidence: identification - voice recognition. *Criminal Law review*. 10 (2008), 799-802.

[119]

Roberts, A. 2010. Eyewitness identification and expert insight: *R. v Forbes*. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 14(1) (2010), 57-62.

[120]

Roberts, A. 2008. Privilege: evidence - privilege - incrimination - defendant pleading guilty to offence of terrorism. *Criminal Law review*. 5 (2008), 391-394.

[121]

Roberts, A. 2011. *R. v C*: DNA evidence - disputed DNA evidence - compliance by experts with Criminal Procedure Rules 2010. *Criminal Law Review*. 5 (2011), 396-399.

[122]

Roberts, A. 2011. *R. v C*: DNA evidence - disputed DNA evidence - compliance by experts with Criminal Procedure Rules 2010. *Criminal Law Review*. 5 (2011), 396-399.

[123]

Roberts, A. 2011. *R. v C*: DNA evidence - disputed DNA evidence - compliance by experts with Criminal Procedure Rules 2010. *Criminal Law Review*. 5 (2011), 396-399.

[124]

Roberts, A. 2011. *R. v Gojra (Ranjit)*: evidence - identity - one of two victims identifying defendant at identification parade. *Criminal law review*. 4 (2011), 311-314.

[125]

Roberts, A. 2011. *R. v Gojra (Ranjit)*: evidence - identity - one of two victims identifying

defendant at identification parade. *Criminal Law Review*. 4 (2011), 311-314.

[126]

Roberts, A. 2011. *R. v Moss (Alvin Shaun): evidence - identification - informal recognition from photograph - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 s.78*. *Criminal Law Review*. 7 (2011), 560-562.

[127]

Roberts, A. 2009. *Rejecting general acceptance, confounding the gate-keeper: the Law Commission and expert evidence*. *Criminal Law Review*. 8 (2009), 551-561.

[128]

Roberts, A. 2004. *The problem of mistaken identification: some observations on process*. *International Journal of Evidence & Proof*. 8(2) (2004), 100-119.

[129]

Roberts, A. j 2009. *Expert evidence: murder - no medical evidence called by defence at trial*. *Criminal Law Review*. 3 (2009), 195-197.

[130]

Roberts, A. j 2009. *Identification: police officer recognising appellant from CCTV images - whether admissible - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Codes of Practice, Code D*. *Criminal Law Review*. 6 (2009), 437-440.

[131]

Roberts, A. j 2010. *R. v Hamidi; R. v Cherazi: evidence - defendant not giving evidence - Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 s.35*. *Criminal Law Review*. 7 (2010), 578-580.

[132]

Roberts, A. j 2010. *R. v Tabbakh: trial - defendant not giving evidence at trial*. *Criminal Law*

review. 1 (2010), 79-82.

[133]

Roberts, A.J. 2008. Evidence: identification evidence - fresh evidence. Criminal Law review. 1 (2008), 57-59.

[134]

Roberts, A.J. 2007. Evidence: street identification - distinct clothing case. Criminal law review. Feb (2007), 162-165.

[135]

Roberts, A.J. 2006. Experts - duties of experts - obligations to court. Criminal Law review. Aug (2006), 745-748.

[136]

Roberts, A.J. 2007. Identification: direction to jury - weaknesses in identification evidence. Criminal law review. Aug (2007), 642-644.

[137]

Roberts, A.J. 2009. Identification: police officer recognising appellant from CCTV images - whether admissible - Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, Codes of Practice, Code D. Criminal law review. 6 (2009), 437-440.

[138]

Roberts, A.J. 2007. Identification: recognition - main issue credibility of witness. Criminal Law Review. Jul (2007), 571-574.

[139]

Roberts, A.J. 2009. Identification: visual identification - mistaken identification - direction to jury. Criminal law review. 1 (2009), 40-41.

[140]

Roberts, A.J. 2010. R. v Atkins (D and M): evidence - expert - 'facial mapping'. Criminal Law review. 2 (2010), 141-144.

[141]

Spencer, John R. and Flin, Rhona H. 1993. The evidence of children: the law and the psychology. Blackstone.

[142]

Tapper, C. 2007. Use of third party confessions: R v Finch. International journal of evidence & proof. 11(4) (2007), 318-321.

[143]

Tapper, Colin and Cross, Rupert 2007. Cross and Tapper on evidence. Butterworths.

[144]

Taylor, C. 2005. Video identification under PACE Code D: R v Marcus. International journal of evidence & proof . 9(3) (2005), 204-210.

[145]

Thomas, D.A. 2010. R. v Whittington: confiscation order - criminal lifestyle - assumptions. Criminal Law Review. 1 (2010), 65-69.

[146]

Walker, C. 2008. Terrorism: possessing an article in circumstances giving rise to reasonable suspicion that possession being for...terrorism. Criminal law review. 1 (2008), 71-72.

[147]

Walker, C.P. and McCartney, C. 2005. Evidence: expert witnesses seriously disagreeing as to whether cause of death of infants natural or unnatural. *Criminal Law review*. Feb (2005), 126-130.

[148]

Warburton, D. and Lewis, T. 2009. Opinion evidence; admissibility of ad hoc expert voice recognition evidence: *R. v Flynn*. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 13(1) (2009), 50-57.

[149]

Ward, tony 2009. Hearsay, psychiatric evidence and the interest of justice. *Criminal law review*. 6 (2009), 415-426.

[150]

Ward, T. 2009. Usurping the role of the jury? Expert evidence and witness credibility in English criminal trials. *International journal of evidence & proof* . 13(2) (2009), 83-101.

[151]

Webster, A. 2009. *Horncastle v R.*: statements from witnesses absent at trial. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 13(4) (2009), 324-329.

[152]

Wheate, R. 2010. The importance of DNA evidence to juries in criminal trials. *International journal of evidence & proof*. 14(2) (2010), 129-145.

[153]

Williams, G. 1976. 'Devlin Report.. *Criminal Law review*. (1976).

[154]

Worthern, T. 2008. The hearsay provisions of the Criminal Justice Act 2003: so far, not so

good? Criminal Law review. 6 (2008), 431–442.

[155]

Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999: .

[156]

Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act 1999: .

[157]

1991. Documentary hearsay. Criminal law review. Sep (1991), 707–708.

[158]

1999. Evidence. Criminal law review. Feb (1999), 148–150.

[159]

1987. Excess alcohol in blood - testing of blood using computer - evidence of results given by scientist. Criminal law review. Jun (1987), 422–423.

[160]

1986. Expert evidence - mental capacity of defendant - admissibility of evidence. Criminal Law review. Jun (1986), 395–397.

[161]

2009. Hearsay evidence and Article 6. Criminal law review. 5 (2009), 311–312.

[162]

2007. Informer privilege - Canada. Criminal Law review. Sep (2007), 747–748.